Original Article



Association between Serum Uric Acid and the Early Marker of Kidney Function Decline among Chinese Middle-Aged and Older Population: Evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study

TANG Xu¹, XU Lu², MENG Ruo Gu³, DU Yi Qing¹, LIU Shi Jun¹, ZHAN Si Yan^{2,4,5}, and XU Tao^{1,#}

 Department of Urology, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing 100044, China; 2. Research Center of Clinical Epidemiology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China; 3. National Institute of Health Data Science, Center for Data Science in Health and Medicine, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China;
Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China;
Center for Intelligent Public Health, Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China

Abstract

Objective To evaluate the association between serum uric acid (SUA) and kidney function decline.

Methods Data was obtained from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study on the Chinese middle-aged and older population for analysis. The kidney function decline was defined as an annual estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decrease by > 3 mL/min per 1.73 m². Multivariable logistic regression was applied to determine the association between SUA and kidney function decline. The shape of the association was investigated by restricted cubic splines.

Results A total of 7,346 participants were included, of which 1,004 individuals (13.67%) developed kidney function decline during the follow-up of 4 years. A significant dose-response relation was recorded between SUA and the kidney function decline (*OR* 1.14, 95% *Cl* 1.03–1.27), as the risk of kidney function decline increased by 14% per 1 mg/dL increase in SUA. In the subgroup analyses, such a relation was only recorded among women (*OR* 1.22, 95% *Cl* 1.03–1.45), those aged < 60 years (*OR* 1.22, 95% *Cl* 1.05–1.42), and those without hypertension and without diabetes (*OR* 1.22, 95% *Cl* 1.06–1.41). Although the dose-response relation was not observed in men, the high level of SUA was related to kidney function decline (*OR* 1.83, 95% *Cl* 1.05–3.17). The restricted cubic spline analysis indicated that SUA > 5 mg/dL was associated with a significantly higher risk of kidney function decline.

Conclusion The SUA level was associated with kidney function decline. An elevation of SUA should therefore be addressed to prevent possible kidney impairment and dysfunction.

Key words: Uric acid; Glomerular filtration rate; Kidney function decline

Biomed Environ Sci, 2023; 36(3): 231-240	doi: 10.3967/bes2023.026	ISSN: 0895-3988
www.besjournal.com (full text)	CN: 11-2816/Q	Copyright ©2023 by China CDC

INTRODUCTION

www.ith a total of 697.5 million patients globally^[1], chronic kidney disease (CKD) has become a major public health problem; especially, China reports 132.3 million cases, accounting for approximately 20% of the global burden^[1,2]. A better understanding of the potential risk factors of CKD would shed light on the early detection and prevention of the disease.

Uric acid is the main metabolite of purine compounds in humans^[3]. Past studies have suggested that serum uric acid (SUA) plays a role in hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, and kidney

[#]Correspondence should be addressed to XU Tao, professor, E-mail: xutao@pkuph.edu.cn, Tel: 86-10-88326350. Biographical note of the first author: TANG Xu, male, born in 1989, MD, Attending Doctor, majoring in urology.

diseases^[4,5]. However, previous studies report mixed results on whether SUA is an independent risk factor for the development of kidney diseases^[6,7]. A study from the United States with a long-term follow-up reported that elevated SUA levels were associated with progressive kidney function impairment and the development of CKD among the Jackson Heart Study population^[8]. A Japanese cohort study suggested higher SUA levels as a risk factor for the development of kidney dysfunction in the general population^[9]. However, Chonchol et al.^[10] evaluated the Cardiovascular Health Study individuals detected SUA level was associated with a subsequent decrease in the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) but without any association with CKD. Some reports have concluded that SUA is not a risk factor for kidney failure in patients with CKD^[11]. The existing evidence is inconsistent. In addition, most studies focused on a composite CKD outcome, and the exploration of whether SUA is associated with the early marker of kidney function decline (eGFR) is limited. There are only a few national populationbased studies in this regard, especially from developing countries^[6,12]. Therefore, whether SUA is a predictor for the early change in kidney function decline remains to be elucidated.

This study used data from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), a nationally representative longitudinal cohort sample, to analyze the association between SUA and the early marker of kidney function decline among the Chinese population aged \geq 45 years to further explore the potential modifiers for CKD prevention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Database and Study Population

The CHARLS study is a national longitudinal survey conducted by the National School of Development of Peking University on the Chinese population aged \geq 45 years, including information regarding demographics, health status, physical function, and venous blood sample data, among others. This study aims to establish a high-quality public micro-database with a wide range of information sourced, ranging from socioeconomic status to health circumstances^[13].

To date, four waves of the nationwide survey have been conducted in 2011 (visit 1), 2013 (visit 2), 2015 (visit 3), and 2018 (visit 4). The blood sample data were collected only at visits 1 and 3. The details of the design and conduct of CHARLS are described elsewhere^[13]. In this study, only participants who had blood sample data at visits 1 and 3 were enrolled. Individuals who lacked SUA data at visit 1 or who lacked creatine data at visits 1 or 3 were also excluded. A total of 7,520 participants had blood sample data at visits 1 and 3, with 174 individuals lacking SUA data at visit 1 or creatine data at visits 1 or 3. A total of 7,346 participants were finally included in the assessment. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052-11015). All methods were conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations (Declaration of Helsinki). All participants provided their signed informed consent.

Exposure and Outcome Assessment

The target exposure variable was baseline SUA. The baseline SUA can be classified into five categories (Q1: 0-3.39, Q2: 3.39-3.97, Q3: 3.97-4.57, Q4: 4.57–5.36, and Q5: ≥ 5.36 mg/dL) according to quintiles at the baseline SUA at visit 1. The outcome was kidney function decline, which is defined as an annual eGFR decrease by > 3 mL/min per $1.73 \text{ m}^{2[14-17]}$. eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m²) was estimated from the baseline serum creatinine using the CKD-EPI creatinine equation (2009)^[18]: $141 \times min(Scr/\kappa, 1)^{\alpha} \times$ $max(Scr/\kappa, 1)^{-1.209} \times 0.993^{Age}[\times 1.018 \text{ if female}][\times$ 1.159 if black], where Scr is serum creatinine, κ is 0.7 for women and 0.9 for men, α is -0.329 for women and -0.411 for men, min is the minimum of Scr/ κ or 1, and max is the maximum of Scr/ κ or 1. The rate of annual change in eGFR was calculated by using the following equation: (eGFR in 2015 - eGFR in 2011)/4.

Covariate Assessment

Based on the biological plausibility or with reference to the past studies^[19-21], the following candidate covariates were examined as potential confounding factors: age (years), sex (male, female), gross domestic product (GDP) per capita (low: < 24.41 thousand ¥, middle: 24.41 to 31.57 thousand ¥, high: \geq 31.57 thousand ¥), baseline eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m²), hypertension, diabetes, smoking (never or current/former), drinking (never or current/ former), body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (mg/dL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (mg/dL), triglycerides (mg/dL), and C-reactive protein (mg/L). GDP per capita was classified into three groups by tertiles of 2010 GDP per capita of the included provinces^[22]. Hypertension was defined by self-reported physician diagnosis of hypertension or blood pressure (BP)

measurements \geq 140/90 mmHg or the use of antihypertensive agents. Diabetes was defined by self-reported physician diagnosis of diabetes, a random blood sugar level \geq 200 mg/dL, a fasting blood sugar level \geq 126 mg/dL, a glycated hemoglobin level (HbA1c) \geq 6.5%, or the use of hypoglycemic agents. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by the square of height (m), and BMI was classified into four levels (underweight: < 18.5 kg/m², normal: \geq 18.5 to 24 kg/m², overweight: \geq 24 to 28 kg/m², obesity: \geq 28 kg/m²).

Statistical Analysis

Group comparisons of continuous and categorical variables were performed using one-way ANOVA and χ^2 test, respectively. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to obtain the Odds ratios (*ORs*) and 95% confidence intervals (*CIs*) for the association of kidney function decline with the SUA level.

Three logistic regression models were considered to adjust the potential covariates defined a priori. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, GDP per capita, and the baseline eGFR. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for hypertension and diabetes. In Model 3, the adjustment further included smoking, drinking, BMI, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and C-reactive protein. In these models, both continuous (for investigating linear trends) and categorical (for promoting interpretability) SUA variables were used with Q1 as the reference category. The shape of the association between SUA and kidney function decline was investigated through restricted cubic splines adjusted for the same potential confounders in Model 3, with 2 mg/dL SUA serving as the reference. Subgroup analyses by sex (male, female), age (< 60, 60 to 70, \geq 70), or hypertension and diabetes status (without hypertension and without diabetes, with hypertension and without diabetes, with diabetes and without hypertension, and with hypertension and with diabetes) were performed using Model 3, considering the possibility that these variables at the baseline might modify the association of kidney function decline with the SUA level^[23,24]. Using the likelihood ratio test, the P for interaction was calculated between the SUA level (Q1: 0-3.39 mg/dL, Q2: 3.39-3.97 mg/dL, Q3: 3.97-4.57 mg/dL, Q4: 4.57–5.36 mg/dL, Q5: ≥ 5.36 mg/dL) and the stratification variables (i.e., sex, age, hypertension, and diabetes status) for each scenario by comparing models with and without an interaction term.

The complex survey design and the non-response rate were considered by using the inverse probability

weighting method. Those with missing values in some variables were not included in the analyses containing the corresponding variables. Stata 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) (https://www. stata.com/) was applied for all statistical analyses, and two-sided P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

A total of 7,346 participants were included in this study (3,387 men, 3,959 women). The mean age (standardized deviation, SD) of all participants was 58.91 (9.16) years (Table 1). The participants with higher SUA levels were more likely to be older, of the male gender, hypertensive, smokers, and drinkers. Table 2 depicts the baseline characteristics of the participants in accordance with the kidney function decline status. Those with kidney function decline were more likely to be older, of the male gender, hypertensive, diabetic, and smokers. In addition, they had a higher average eGFR and triglycerides.

SUA Level and Risks of Kidney Function Decline

A total of 1,004 individuals (13.67%) developed a kidney function decline during the follow-up of 4 years. After adjusting for all potential covariates (such as age, sex, GDP per capita, baseline eGFR, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, drinking, BMI, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and Creactive protein), for every additional 1 mg/dL SUA, the risk of kidney function decline increased by 14% (OR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.03–1.27), with a significant doseresponse relation (Table 3). After adjusting for all potential covariates, the ORs for the second, third, fourth, and fifth versus the first quintile of SUA were 1.23 (95% C/ 0.94-1.62), 1.41 (95% C/ 1.06-1.87), 1.72 (95% Cl 1.02-2.89), and 1.71 (95% Cl 1.18-2.47), respectively. The restricted cubic spline analysis with 2 mg/dL SUA as the reference indicated that SUA > 5 mg/dL (which corresponds to the median of the fourth guintile) was associated with a significantly higher risk of kidney function decline (Figure 1).

Subgroup Analyses

After repeating the logistic model adjusted for all potential covariates among males and females, respectively, a significant positive dose-response relation was noted between the SUA and kidney function decline for women (*OR* 1.22, 95% *Cl*

1.03–1.45). Although the dose-response relation was not recorded in men (OR 1.09, 95% Cl 0.97–1.23), the high level of baseline SUA was found to be related to the kidney function decline (OR 1.83, 95% Cl 1.05–3.17) (Table 4). In different age groups, only those aged < 60 years saw a significant positive dose-

response relation between the SUA and kidney function decline (*OR* 1.22, 95% *Cl* 1.05–1.42). Subgroup analyses by hypertension and diabetes status indicated a positive dose–response relation between SUA and kidney function decline only among those without hypertension and without

Characteristics	Overall	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Р
Participants, n (%)	7,346 (100.00)	1,528 (20.06)	1,610 (20.02)	1,521 (19.96)	1,411 (20.02)	1,276 (19.95)	-
Age (years, SD)	58.91 (9.16)	56.37 (8.79)	58.74 (8.85)	58.85 (9.07)	59.16 (8.82)	61.44 (9.56)	< 0.001
Sex							< 0.001
Men	3,387 (47.26)	280 (23.21)	494 (35.89)	674 (47.58)	856 (58.80)	1,083 (70.98)	
GDP per capita							< 0.001
Low	2,814 (34.43)	488 (29.38)	605 (34.35)	564 (33.35)	550 (35.63)	607 (39.44)	
Middle	2,150 (27.02)	569 (33.58)	510 (30.39)	441 (28.41)	381 (24.96)	249 (17.71)	
High	2,382 (38.56)	471 (37.04)	495 (35.26)	516 (38.24)	480 (39.41)	420 (42.85)	
eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m ² , SD)	92.31 (14.51)	100.09 (10.49)	95.15 (12.37)	93.01 (13.64)	90.05 (13.89)	83.18 (15.92)	< 0.001
Hypertension, n (%)	3,195 (43.60)	535 (33.55)	587 (40.99)	609 (41.19)	674 (47.91)	790 (54.39)	< 0.001
Diabetes, n (%)	1,146 (15.69)	228 (13.27)	211 (16.13)	206 (13.96)	236 (14.81)	265 (20.27)	< 0.001
Smoking							< 0.001
Current/former	2,855 (38.79)	315 (25.11)	447 (32.75)	564 (39.75)	682 (45.61)	847 (50.79)	
Drinking							< 0.001
Current/former	2,782 (38.65)	328 (26.70)	449 (31.76)	536 (38.64)	666 (44.60)	803 (51.62)	
BMI (kg/m²), <i>n</i> (%)							< 0.001
Underweight	419 (5.27)	105 (7.46)	94 (6.17)	83 (4.93)	65 (3.44)	72 (4.33)	
Normal	3,760 (50.00)	818 (56.00)	774 (53.21)	771 (52.45)	705 (45.73)	692 (45.52)	
Overweight	2,198 (32.15)	393 (27.48)	432 (31.36)	435 (30.33)	485 (36.30)	453 (35.33)	
Obesity	929 (12.58)	145 (9.06)	164 (9.26)	174 (12.28)	202 (14.53)	244 (32.15)	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg, SD)	130.62 (21.28)	127.98 (20.00)	129.26 (21.33)	129.14 (20.83)	132.78 (22.45)	134.15 (21.17)	< 0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg, SD)	79.30 (52.72)	76.25 (34.07)	80.30 (63.69)	78.68 (54.10)	80.06 (43.28)	81.37 (62.58)	0.116
HbA1c (%, SD)	5.26 (0.81)	5.32 (1.02)	5.22 (0.81)	5.22 (0.74)	5.25 (0.72)	5.27 (0.72)	0.006
Triglycerides (mg/dL, SD)	136.95 (109.57)	119.70 (83.88)	123.54 (86.50)	131.58 (91.28)	140.45 (112.50)	169.62 (151.69)	< 0.001
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL, SD)	115.20 (34.56)	114.83 (32.95)	116.30 (32.83)	115.05 (33.14)	116.44 (35.33)	113.37 (38.23)	0.104
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL, SD)	49.64 (15.24)	51.84 (14.35)	51.29 (13.73)	50.38 (15.86)	48.27 (14.97)	48.38 (16.50)	< 0.001
C-reactive protein (mg/L, SD)	2.58 (6.71)	2.08 (5.78)	2.25 (5.74)	2.52 (7.28)	2.99 (7.58)	3.05 (6.89)	< 0.001

Table 1. Participants characteristics at baseline, by SUA levels

Note. Q1: 0 to 3.39 mg/dL, Q2: 3.39 to 3.97 mg/dL, Q3: 3.97 to 4.57 mg/dL, Q4: 4.57 to 5.36 mg/dL, Q5: \geq 5.36 mg/dL. There were 20, 25, 25, 26, 40, 894, 872, 46, and 13 individuals with missing information in hypertension, diabetes, smoking, drinking, BMI, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, HbA1c and LDL-c, respectively. The inverse probability weighting method was applied in calculating the SDs, proportions and *P* values. SUA, serum uric acid; SD, standard deviation; GDP, gross domestic product; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

	Kidney fun			
Characteristics	No	Yes	Р	
Participants, n (%)	6,342 (86.33)	1,004 (13.67)	_	
Age (years, SD)	58.75 (9.12)	59.93 (9.35)	< 0.001	
Sex, n (%)			< 0.001	
Men	2,893 (47.02)	494 (48.82)		
GDP per capita			< 0.001	
Low	2,354 (33.29)	460 (41.61)		
Middle	1,910 (27.90)	240 (21.43)		
High	2,078 (38.81)	304 (36.96)		
eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m ² , SD)	91.89 (14.24)	94.96 (15.83)	< 0.001	
Hypertension, n (%)	2,718 (42.77)	477 (48.80)	< 0.001	
Diabetes, n (%)	978 (15.38)	168 (17.60)	< 0.001	
Smoking			< 0.001	
Current/former	2,434 (38.53)	421 (40.41)		
Drinking			< 0.001	
Current/former	2,388 (38.78)	394 (37.85)		
BMI (kg/m²)			< 0.001	
Underweight	353 (5.12)	66 (6.22)		
Normal	3,231 (50.07)	529 (49.55)		
Overweight	1,910 (31.95)	288 (33.43)		
Obesity	815 (12.86)	114 (10.80)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg, SD)	129.97 (20.57)	134.52 (24.76)	< 0.001	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg, SD)	79.05 (52.52)	80.83 (53.90)	0.341	
SUA (mg/dL, SD)	4.52 (1.32)	4.57 (1.27)	0.219	
HbA1c (%, SD)	5.25 (0.80)	5.30 (0.88)	0.076	
Triglycerides (mg/dL, SD)	135.32 (98.94)	147.23 (160.85)	0.001	
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL, SD)	115.79 (34.33)	111.46 (35.80)	< 0.001	
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL, SD)	49.61 (15.01)	49.83 (16.64)	0.668	
C-reactive protein (mg/L, SD)	2.59 (7.00)	2.49 (4.39)	0.664	

|--|

Note. There were 20, 25, 25, 26, 40, 894, 872, 46, and 13 individuals with missing information in hypertension, diabetes, smoking, drinking, BMI, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, HbA1c and LDL cholesterol, respectively. SD, standard deviation; GDP, gross domestic product; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; SUA, serum uric acid; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

SUA level	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	1.27 (0.96–1.67)	1.24 (0.95–1.63)	1.23 (0.94–1.62)
Q3	1.41 (1.06–1.88)*	1.39 (1.05–1.84)*	1.41 (1.06–1.87)*
Q4	1.80 (1.04–3.10)*	1.72 (1.03–2.89)*	1.72 (1.02–2.89)*
Q5	1.80 (1.24–2.60)**	1.70 (1.18–2.43)**	1.71 (1.18–2.47)**
1 mg/dL increase	1.14 (1.02–1.28)*	1.13 (1.02–1.26)*	1.14 (1.03–1.27)*

Note. Q1: 0– < 3.39 mg/dL, Q2: 3.39– < 3.97 mg/dL, Q3: 3.97– < 4.57 mg/dL, Q4: 4.57– < 5.36 mg/dL, Q5: \geq 5.36 mg/dL. P < 0.05, *P < 0.01. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, GDP per capita and baseline eGFR. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for hypertension and diabetes. In Model 3, adjustment further included smoking, drinking, BMI, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and C-reactive protein. SUA, serum uric acid; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GDP, gross domestic product; BMI, body mass index; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

diabetes, with an *OR* of 1.22 (95% *CI* 1.06–1.41). Subgroup analyses by hypertension status (not considering the diabetes status) revealed a positive dose-response relation only among those without hypertension (*OR* 1.22, 95% *CI* 1.07–1.39). For those without diabetes (not considering the hypertension status), a positive dose-response relation (*OR* 1.14, 95% *CI* 1.01–1.28) was noted, which was not detected among those with diabetes. The relationship between the SUA level and the risk of kidney function decline may depend on sex, age, or hypertension and diabetes status (All *P* for interaction < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

In this study, in accordance with the large nationally representative sample, we found that, among the Chinese population aged \geq 45 years, a high level of SUA was related to the risk of kidney function decline, which can also present in a positive dose–response manner. When stratified by sex, age, hypertension, and diabetes status, such a positive dose-response association was recorded among women, people aged < 60 years, and those without hypertension and diabetes. In men, although the dose-response relation was not observed, the high level of baseline SUA was found to be related to kidney function decline. SUA > 5 mg/dL was found to be associated with a significantly higher risk of



Figure 1. Restricted cubic splines analysis of the association between the SUA level and kidney function decline The red solid line represents age-adjusted odds ratios. The gray dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals. The serum uric acid level was modeled by both-tail restricted cubic spline in a multivariable logistic regression model. The reference value is 2 mg/dL. SUA, serum uric acid.

kidney function decline.

Consistency with a past study^[25], the present study demonstrated that a higher SUA level was associated with kidney function decline. A positive dose-response verified this result. Chonchol et al.^[10] reported that, with an increase in the SUA levels by 1 mg/dL, the risk of kidney function decline increased by 14% among the participants of the Cardiovascular Health Study. Hsieh et al.^[26] investigated the CKD stage 3-5 patients and noted a significant association with an odds ratio of 1.27 for kidney function decline with an SUA increased by 1 mg/dL. Moreover, the risk of kidney function decline started even in the normal range of the SUA level. Similar findings were determined in studies based on diabetes patients, according to which, a high-normal SUA may predict the development of CKD^[27,28]. Moreover, another two population studies found an association between the normal range of SUA and the increased risk of kidney function decline^[15,29]. The potential reasons and precisely from which SUA level this risk starts remain unknown. However, the data so far should provide a clue to the clinical consideration in this context.

The potential mechanisms behind the SUArelated kidney function decline may be as follows. First, elevated SUA levels could cause vessel endothelial dysfunction, prejudice endothelial nitric oxide (NO) production, and stimulate vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation in afferent arterioles^[30,31]. Second, uric acid is proinflammatory, which can increase the synthesis of monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, interleukin 1, interleukin 6, and tumor necrosis factor, possibly leading to the development of vascular diseases and atherosclerosis^[32,33]. Third, elevated SUA levels may stimulate the renin-angiotensin system, thereby promoting kidney injury^[34]. Fourth, it was reported that an increase in SUA causes a greater microvascular remodeling mediated by endothelial function and NO availability^[35]. These factors can induce a rigid artery wall and decrease its autoregulatory function, which can lead to the impaired capacity development of an of preglomerular vessels to maintain the constancy of glomerular pressure in the arterial phase^[36]. Arterial pressure is then transmitted directly to the glomerulus, causing glomerular hypertension and resulting in glomerular hypertrophy and sclerosis^[36]. In addition, elevated SUA induces high BP and type 2 diabetes mellitus, which can further lower kidney functions^[37,38].

In our study, women were found to be more

Serum uric acid and kidney function decline

Table 4. Subgroup analyses for dose-responseassociation between SUA and kidney functiondecline

Subgroup	Number	Serum uric	OR
		acid level	
Men	3,387		
		Q1	-
		Q2	1.38 (0.79–2.41)
		Q3	1.64 (0.95-2.83)
		Q4	1.33(0.77-2.30)
		Q5 1 mg/di	1.83 (1.05-3.17)
		increase	1.09 (0.97–1.23)
Women	3,959		
		Q1	-
		Q2	1.16 (0.84–1.59)
		Q3	1.21 (0.85–1.71)
		Q4	2.31 (1.13–4.72)*
		Q5	1.38 (0.75–2.50)
		1 mg/dL	1.22 (1.03–1.45)*
< 60 years	4 026	increase	, ,
	4,020	01	_
		02	1 19 (0 82–1 74)
		03	1.13 (0.02 1.7 1)
		01	$2 13 (1 05 - 4 33)^{*}$
		05	2.13 (1.03 4.33)
		US 1 mg/dl	2.00 (1.18 5.55)
		increase	1.22 (1.05–1.42)
60 to < 70 years	2,323		
		Q1	-
		Q2	1.28 (0.80–2.05)
		Q3	1.41 (0.88–2.27)
		Q4	1.16 (0.71–1.89)
		Q5	1.36 (0.77–2.39)
		1 mg/dL increase	1.14 (0.99–1.31)
≥ 70 years	997	mereuse	
		Q1	-
		Q2	1.25 (0.63–2.51)
		Q3	1.20 (0.58–2.45)
		Q4	1.48 (0.70–3.10)
		Q5	1.62 (0.72–3.63)
		1 mg/dL	1.04 (0.85–1.26)
Without hyportonsion 8		increase	1.01 (0.03 1.20)
without diabetes	3,664		
		Q1	_
		Q2	1.60 (1.08–2.39)*
		Q3	1.75 (1.18–2.60)**
		Q4	1.87 (1.22–2.88)**
		Q5	2.77 (1.71–4.50)****
		1 mg/dL	1 22 (1 06-1 41)**
		increase	1.22 (1.00-1.41)

			Continued
Subgroup	Number	Serum uric acid level	OR
With hypertension & without diabetes	2,511		
		Q1	-
		Q2	0.77 (0.50–1.17)
		Q3	0.88 (0.54–1.41)
		Q4	1.16 (0.48–2.82)
		Q5	0.78 (0.42–1.43)
		1 mg/dL increase	1.02 (0.86–1.22)
With diabetes & without hypertension	464		
		Q1	-
		Q2	2.52 (0.91–6.95)
		Q3	3.47 (1.32–9.14) [*]
		Q4	2.14 (0.66–6.96)
		Q5	2.75 (0.77–9.80)
		1 mg/dL increase	1.21 (0.91–1.62)
With hypertension & diabetes	679		
		Q1	-
		Q2	0.82 (0.34–1.99)
		Q3	1.24 (0.50–3.10)
		Q4	1.92 (0.80–4.56)
		Q5	1.43 (0.58–3.52)
		1 mg/dL increase	1.12 (0.90–1.40)

Note. Q1: 0 to 3.39 mg/dL, Q2: 3.39 to 3.97 mg/dL, Q3: 3.97 to 4.57 mg/dL, Q4: 4.57 to 5.36 mg/dL, Q5: \geq 5.36 mg/dL. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, *****P* < 0.001. The covariates were age, sex, GDP per capita, baseline eGFR, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, drinking, BMI, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and C-reactive protein. There were 28 individuals with missing information on hypertension (20 individuals) or diabetes (25 individuals). SUA, serum uric acid; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; OR, odds ratio; GDP, gross domestic product; BMI, body mass index; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

vulnerable to elevated SUA level-induced kidney function decline. A previous cohort study demonstrated that women were more susceptible to SUA-mediated decline in eGFR^[39]. Another population study in Japan also illustrated that hyperuricemia is an independent predictor of endstage renal disease (ESRD) in women^[40]. In addition, SUA was considered to be a predictive factor of residual renal dysfunction after renal donation only in women^[41]. Although there is no clear mechanism for the sex difference in renal dysfunction related to the change of SUA, considering the existing evidence, we speculated that sex hormones (i.e., estrogen) may play a role in it. First, the incidence of high SUA-promoted elevation of plasma creatinine was greater in female mice in a past study^[42]. Second, estrogen possibly induced kidney injury in female obese Zucker rats by increasing the triglyceride-rich lipoproteins concentration in the plasma^[43]. Third, estrogen promoted microvascular pathology in the kidney of female stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats^[44].

The kidney function of people without hypertension and diabetes were more likely to be affected by elevated SUA level in this study. Zhang et al.^[29] demonstrated that the uric acid level is independently associated with kidney function decline, wherein a higher odd ratio was detected hypertension-free and diabetes-free among participants (1.51) versus that in overall individuals (1.19).Hypertension could induce glomerulosclerosis, further leading to hypertensive nephropathy through renal vascular hemodynamics, renin-angiotensin system over-activation, and oxidative stress pathways, which result in eGFR decline^[45-47]. Poor glycemic control has been associated with the development of diabetic nephropathy through increased production of free radicals, the accumulation of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs), and the activation of the RAS system and the protein kinase C (PKC)^[48]. Therefore, the relationship between SUA and kidney function decline may tend to be more difficult to detect among those with hypertension or diabetes due to the aforementioned confounding factors. Similarly, when stratified by age group, the association between SUA ascending and kidney function decline was only observed among patients aged < 60 years. Since the older population suffers more from comorbidities such as DM and HT^[49,50], the relationship between the SUA level and eGFR decline may be difficult to comprehend.

Our study offers several strengths. The CHARLS is a nationwide longitudinal project conducted among middle-aged and older adults in China. This nationally representative large-scale study also contains blood sample tests and follow-ups that allow the detection of the relation between SUA and kidney function decline. In addition, the survey of CHARLS contains comprehensive related risk factors with rigorous measurements and quality assurance that provided an opportunity to adjust more potential confounding variables. Moreover, we further conducted doseresponse and restricted cubic splines analysis on the basis of logistic regression to ensure the validity of our findings. Our findings will be useful in disease prevention and health management as well as provide information for further studies. However, there were still some limitations to this study. First, the follow-up duration was only 4 years, which limited us to exploring the longer-term effects of SUA on kidney function decline; therefore, further follow-up will be conducted in the future. Second, the covariates considered in this study cannot preclude all possible confounding factors, but almost all important cofounders considered in other studies were included in the present one^[19-21]. Third, this study only uses creatinine to estimate the eGFR without considering cystatin C, but it has been reported that the performance of the creatinine equation, the cystatin C equation, and the creatinine-cystatin C equation are similar^[18].

The present study demonstrated that SUA was associated with kidney function decline with a significant dose-response relation. Since hyperuricemia is prevalent, kidney dysfunction provides a great threat to the health of the general public, and our findings may shed light on SUA control and monitoring to help prevent the progression of kidney dysfunction. The elevation of uric acid level should be focused on to prevent possible kidney function decline.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Research and study design: TANG Xu, XU Lu, and XU Tao; data acquisition: XU Lu; data analysis/interpretation: All authors; statistical analysis: XU Lu; and supervision or mentorship: XU Tao. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision, accepts personal accountability for the author's own contributions, and agrees to ensure that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Received: April 26, 2022; Accepted: November 17, 2022

REFERENCES

- GBD Chronic Kidney Disease Collaboration. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet, 2020; 395, 709–33.
- Xie Y, Bowe B, Mokdad AH, et al. Analysis of the Global Burden of Disease study highlights the global, regional, and national trends of chronic kidney disease epidemiology from 1990 to 2016. Kidney Int, 2018; 94, 567–81.
- Menè P, Punzo G. Uric acid: bystander or culprit in hypertension and progressive renal disease? J Hypertens, 2008; 26, 2085-92.
- Bellomo G. The relationship between uric acid, allopurinol, cardiovascular events, and kidney disease progression: a step forward. Am J Kidney Dis, 2015; 65, 525–7.
- Kuma A, Mafune K, Uchino B, et al. Alteration of normal level of serum urate may contribute to decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in healthy Japanese men. Ren Fail, 2021; 43, 1408–15.
- Bonino B, Leoncini G, Russo E, et al. Uric acid in CKD: has the jury come to the verdict? J Nephrol, 2020; 33, 715-24.
- Yang C, Ma X, Zhao W, et al. A longitudinal analysis of the relationship between serum uric acid and residual renal function loss in peritoneal dialysis patients. Ren Fail, 2020; 42, 447–54.
- Mwasongwe SE, Fülöp T, Katz R, et al. Relation of uric acid level to rapid kidney function decline and development of kidney disease: The Jackson Heart Study. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich), 2018; 20, 775–83.
- Takae K, Nagata M, Hata J, et al. Serum uric acid as a risk factor for chronic kidney disease in a Japanese communitythe Hisayama study. Circulat J, 2016; 80, 1857–62.
- Chonchol M, Shlipak MG, Katz R, et al. Relationship of uric acid with progression of kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis, 2007; 50, 239–47.
- Madero M, Sarnak MJ, Wang XL, et al. Uric acid and long-term outcomes in CKD. Am J Kidney Dis, 2009; 53, 796–803.
- Weaver DJ. Uric acid and progression of chronic kidney disease. Pediatr Nephrol, 2019; 34, 801–9.
- Zhao YH, Hu YS, Smith JP, et al. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol, 2014; 43, 61–8.
- Cheng HT, Huang JW, Chiang CK, et al. Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance as risk factors for development of chronic kidney disease and rapid decline in renal function in elderly. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2012; 97, 1268–76.
- Cao X, Wu LX, Chen ZH. The association between elevated serum uric acid level and an increased risk of renal function decline in a health checkup cohort in China. Int Urol Nephrol, 2018; 50, 517–25.
- Shlipak MG, Katz R, Kestenbaum B, et al. Rapid decline of kidney function increases cardiovascular risk in the elderly. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2009; 20, 2625–30.
- 17. Rifkin DE, Shlipak MG, Katz R, et al. Rapid kidney function decline and mortality risk in older adults. Arch Intern Med, 2008; 168, 2212–8.
- Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. N Engl J Med, 2012; 367, 20–9.
- Ye MY, Hu K, Jin J, et al. The association between time-mean serum uric acid levels and the incidence of chronic kidney disease in the general population: a retrospective study. BMC Nephrol, 2018; 19, 190.
- 20. Tangri N, Stevens LA, Griffith J, et al. A predictive model for

progression of chronic kidney disease to kidney failure. JAMA, 2011; 305, 1553–9.

- Kugler E, Cohen E, Goldberg E, et al. C reactive protein and long-term risk for chronic kidney disease: a historical prospective study. J Nephrol, 2015; 28, 321–7.
- 22. Stöhr E, Aksoy A, Campbell M, et al. Hospital admissions during Covid-19 lock-down in Germany: Differences in discretionary and unavoidable cardiovascular events. PLoS One, 2020; 15, e0242653.
- 23. Udani S, Lazich I, Bakris GL. Epidemiology of hypertensive kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol, 2011; 7, 11–21.
- 24. Zhang XX, Kong J, Yun K. Prevalence of diabetic nephropathy among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in China: a metaanalysis of observational studies. J Diabetes Res, 2020; 2020, 2315607.
- 25. Sharma G, Dubey A, Nolkha N, et al. Hyperuricemia, uratelowering therapy, and kidney outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis, 2021; 13, 1759720x211016661.
- 26. Hsieh YP, Chang CC, Yang Y, et al. The role of uric acid in chronic kidney disease patients. Nephrology (Carlton, Vic), 2017; 22, 441–8.
- 27. Kim WJ, Kim SS, Bae MJ, et al. High-normal serum uric acid predicts the development of chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and preserved kidney function. J Diabetes Complicat, 2014; 28, 130–4.
- Rosolowsky ET, Ficociello LH, Maselli NJ, et al. High-normal serum uric acid is associated with impaired glomerular filtration rate in nonproteinuric patients with type 1 diabetes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2008; 3, 706–13.
- 29. Zhang LX, Wang F, Wang XY, et al. The association between plasma uric acid and renal function decline in a Chinese population-based cohort. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2012; 27, 1836–9.
- Siu YP, Leung KT, Tong MKH, et al. Use of allopurinol in slowing the progression of renal disease through its ability to lower serum uric acid level. Am J Kidney Dis, 2006; 47, 51–9.
- Lambert EA, Hachem M, Hemmes R, et al. Serum uric acid and the relationship with subclinical organ damage in adults. J Hypertens, 2017; 35, 745–52.
- 32. Kanellis J, Watanabe S, Li JH, et al. Uric acid stimulates monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 production in vascular smooth muscle cells via mitogen-activated protein kinase and cyclooxygenase-2. Hypertension, 2003; 41, 1287–93.
- 33. Gu L, Okada Y, Clinton SK, et al. Absence of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 reduces atherosclerosis in low density lipoprotein receptor-deficient mice. Mol Cell, 1998; 2, 275–81.
- Mazzali M, Hughes J, Kim YG, et al. Elevated uric acid increases blood pressure in the rat by a novel crystal-independent mechanism. Hypertension, 2001; 38, 1101–6.
- 35. Masi S, Georgiopoulos G, Alexopoulos G, et al. The complex relationship between serum uric acid, endothelial function and small vessel remodeling in humans. J Clin Med, 2020; 9, 2027.
- 36. Sanchez-Lozada LG, Tapia E, Santamaria J, et al. Mild hyperuricemia induces vasoconstriction and maintains glomerular hypertension in normal and remnant kidney rats. Kidney Int, 2005; 67, 237–47.
- 37. Kanbay M, Girerd N, Machu JL, et al. Impact of uric acid on hypertension occurrence and target organ damage: insights from the STANISLAS cohort with a 20-year follow-up. Am J Hypertens, 2020; 33, 869–78.
- Kodama S, Saito K, Yachi Y, et al. Association between serum uric acid and development of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care, 2009; 32, 1737–42.

- 39. Akasaka H, Yoshida H, Takizawa H, et al. The impact of elevation of serum uric acid level on the natural history of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and its sex difference. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2014; 29, 1932–9.
- Iseki K, Ikemiya Y, Inoue T, et al. Significance of hyperuricemia as a risk factor for developing ESRD in a screened cohort. Am J Kidney Dis, 2004; 44, 642–50.
- 41. Bravo RC, Gamo MB, Lee HH, et al. Investigating serum uric acid as a risk factor in the development of delayed renal recovery in living kidney donors. Transplant Proc, 2017; 49, 930–4.
- 42. Preitner F, Bonny O, Laverrière A, et al. Glut9 is a major regulator of urate homeostasis and its genetic inactivation induces hyperuricosuria and urate nephropathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2009; 106, 15501–6.
- 43. Gades MD, Stern JS, van Goor H, et al. Estrogen accelerates the development of renal disease in female obese Zucker rats. Kidney Int, 1998; 53, 130–5.
- 44. Stier CT Jr, Chander PN, Rosenfeld L, et al. Estrogen promotes microvascular pathology in female stroke-prone

spontaneously hypertensive rats. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 2003; 285, E232–9.

- 45. Zhou MY, Zhang XL, Wen XY, et al. Development of a functional glomerulus at the organ level on a chip to mimic hypertensive nephropathy. Sci Rep, 2016; 6, 31771.
- Hart PD, Bakris GL. Hypertensive nephropathy: prevention and treatment recommendations. Expert Opin Pharmacother, 2010; 11, 2675–86.
- Mennuni S, Rubattu S, Pierelli G, et al. Hypertension and kidneys: unraveling complex molecular mechanisms underlying hypertensive renal damage. J Hum Hypertens, 2014; 28, 74–9.
- Koch EAT, Nakhoul R, Nakhoul F, et al. Autophagy in diabetic nephropathy: a review. Int Urol Nephrol, 2020; 52, 1705–12.
- Wang M, Li JJ, Li Y, et al. The effects of hypertension and diabetes on new-onset chronic kidney disease: A prospective cohort study. J Clin Hypertens, 2020; 22, 39–46.
- 50. De Cosmo S, Viazzi F, Pacilli A, et al. Serum uric acid and risk of CKD in type 2 diabetes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2015; 10, 1921–9.