# **Original Article**



# Establishment of a Multiplex Detection Method for Common Bacteria in Blood Based on Human Mannan-Binding Lectin Protein-Conjugated Magnetic Bead Enrichment Combined with Recombinase-Aided PCR Technology<sup>\*</sup>

ZHAO Zi Jin<sup>1,2,3,&</sup>, CHEN Xiao Ping<sup>4,&</sup>, HUA Shao Wei<sup>1,2,3</sup>, LI Feng Yu<sup>5</sup>, ZHAO Meng<sup>2,3,6</sup>, XING Chen Hao<sup>1</sup>, WANG Jie<sup>2</sup>, TIAN Feng Yu<sup>3</sup>, ZHANG Rui Qing<sup>3</sup>, LYU Xiao Na<sup>1,2,3</sup>, HAN Zhi Qiang<sup>2,3,6</sup>, WANG Yu Xin<sup>2,3,6</sup>, LI Hong Yi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, SHEN Xin Xin<sup>3,#</sup>, MA Xue Jun<sup>3,#</sup>, and TIE Yan Qing<sup>2,#</sup>

1. Graduate School, Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou 075000, Hebei, China; 2. Department of Clinical Laboratory, Hebei General Hospital, Shijiazhuang 050051, Hebei, China; 3. National Key Laboratory of Intelligent Tracking and Forecasting for Infectious Diseases, NHC Key Laboratory of Medical Virology and Viral Diseases, National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China; 4. National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China; 5. Department of Clinical Laboratory Pathology Diagnostic Center, Shiyan General Hospital, Shiyan 442000, Hubei, China; 6. Graduate School Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050031, Hebei, China

## Abstract

**Objective** Recombinase-aided polymerase chain reaction (RAP) is a sensitive, single-tube, two-stage nucleic acid amplification method. This study aimed to develop an assay that can be used for the early diagnosis of three types of bacteremia caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA), and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (AB) in the bloodstream based on recombinant human mannanbinding lectin protein (M1 protein)-conjugated magnetic bead (M1 bead) enrichment of pathogens combined with RAP.

**Methods** Recombinant plasmids were used to evaluate the assay sensitivity. Common blood influenza bacteria were used for the specific detection. Simulated and clinical plasma samples were enriched with M1 beads and then subjected to multiple recombinase-aided PCR (M-RAP) and quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays. Kappa analysis was used to evaluate the consistency between the two assays.

**Results** The M-RAP method had sensitivity rates of 1, 10, and 1 copies/ $\mu$ L for the detection of SA, PA, and AB plasmids, respectively, without cross-reaction to other bacterial species. The M-RAP assay obtained results for < 10 CFU/mL pathogens in the blood within 4 h, with higher sensitivity than qPCR. M-RAP and qPCR for SA, PA, and AB yielded Kappa values of 0.839, 0.815, and 0.856, respectively (*P* < 0.05).

**Conclusion** An M-RAP assay for SA, PA, and AB in blood samples utilizing M1 bead enrichment has been developed and can be potentially used for the early detection of bacteremia.

**Key words:** *Staphylococcus aureus; Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Acinetobacter baumannii;* Human Mannan-binding lectin protein; Bloodstream infection; Recombinase-aided PCR assay; Multiple detection

Biomed Environ Sci, 2024; 37(4): 387-398	doi: 10.3967/bes2024.043	ISSN: 0895-3988
www.besjournal.com (full text)	CN: 11-2816/Q	Copyright ©2024 by China CDC

<sup>\*</sup>This research was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China [2021YFC2301102]; National Natural Science Foundation of China [82202593]; and Key R&D Program of Hebei Province [223777100D].

<sup>&</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>#</sup>Correspondence should be addressed to TIE Yan Qing, E-mail: tyq1995@126.com; SHEN Xin Xin, E-mail: x616815@126.com; MA Xue Jun, E-mail: maxj@ivdc.chinacdc.cn

Biographical notes of the first authors: ZHAO Zi Jin, male, born in 1999, Master Candidate, majoring in molecular biology testing techniques; CHEN Xiao Ping, female, born in 1974, MD, majoring in method for detecting pathogens in hospital infections.

#### INTRODUCTION

lood flow infection is a common clinical emergency that poses a significant threat to patient safety, with a notable high mortality rate<sup>[1]</sup>. *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA), and Acinetobacter baumannii (AB) account for a substantial proportion of bloodstream infections (BSI) caused by bacteria and are common causes of death<sup>[2-4]</sup>. In recent years, owing to the application of various types of antibiotics, immunosuppressive drugs, invasive surgery, and other treatment methods, the number of drug-resistant bacteria and infection opportunities has significantly increased, leading to an upward trend in the incidence of BSI<sup>[5-6]</sup>. Blood culture remains the gold standard for separating and detecting BSI in clinical practice; however, its extended detection cycle and low positivity rate hinder its ability to fulfill the requirements for early rapid diagnosis and treatment of BSI in clinical practice<sup>[7]</sup>. In the early stages of infection, treatment is often limited to broad-spectrum antibiotics, which can exacerbate the development of multiple bacterial resistance and increase the cost of treatment, thereby complicating the therapeutic process<sup>[8]</sup>. At the different molecular present, biology techniques used for diagnosing BSI include quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), multiple qPCR, and isothermal amplification<sup>[9-12]</sup>. Although these methods have reduced the diagnostic time to a certain extent, they exhibit inadequate sensitivity and are unable to swiftly identify samples with low bacterial concentrations (< 10 CFU/mL) in the patient's blood<sup>[13]</sup>. Previously, we reported a recombinase-aided polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (RAP) assay combined with recombinase-aided amplification (RAA) with qPCR and a multiple recombinase-aided PCR (M-RAP) assay that were used for the rapid and highly sensitive detection of multiple respiratory viruses<sup>[14-15]</sup>. Human mannan-binding lectin protein (M1 protein) has a strong ability to bind to various pathogens in vitro<sup>[16]</sup>. Moreover, RAP coupled with enrichment using M1 protein-conjugated magnetic beads (M1 beads) is a rapid and highly sensitive assay for detecting Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) in the blood<sup>[17]</sup>. In this study, M-RAP combined with the M1 bead enrichment method was developed to detect SA, PA, and AB in patients with BSI and compared with qPCR to evaluate its clinical performance.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sample Collection and Nucleic Acid Extraction

Standard strains of SA (ATCC 29213), PA (ATCC 27853), AB (ATCC 19606), and M1 proteins were provided by the Institute of Infectious Diseases of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Other samples of clinical strains were provided by the Microbiology Department of Hebei Provincial People's Hospital. Plasma samples from 30 patients with BSI and plasma samples from 30 patients with negative blood cultures were all provided by the Laboratory of Hebei Provincial People's Hospital, including 10 samples of SA, 10 samples of PA, and 10 samples of AB. These blood culture-positive specimens were examined by mass spectrometry in the Hebei Provincial People's Hospital. The study was performed in accordance with national ethics regulations and approved by the institutional review board of Hebei Provincial People' s Hospital. After obtaining informed consent, whole blood samples were collected from healthy volunteers and placed in heparin anticoagulant tubes. After centrifugation, plasma was obtained and stored in a -80 °C refrigerator for future use. The nucleic acids of the strains were extracted from the genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) following the guidelines outlined in the FastPure® Microbiome DNA Isolation Kit instruction manual.

# Design of M-RAP Primers and Probes and Construction of Plasmids

A pair of outer primers was used for the RAA reaction, while a pair of inner primers was used for qPCR. Data on the staphylococcal protein A (SpA) gene of SA, gyrase B (GyrB) gene of PA, and outer membrane protein A (OmpA) gene of AB were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information. These genes were located in highly conserved regions that could meet species-level identification requirements<sup>[18-20]</sup>. The qPCR primer-probe set was selected based on the information from the literature<sup>[21-23]</sup>. Sequence alignment was performed using the Vector NTI 11.5.1. The length of the RAA primers was generally 30-35 bp. An excessively short primer may impede the formation of a nucleic acid-protein complex between the recombinant enzyme and primer. Alternatively, an overly long primer may facilitate the formation of a stable primer dimer structure<sup>[24]</sup>. Following the design principle of the RAA primer, AmplifX and Oligo7 software were used to analyze and evaluate the GC content, primer dimers, hairpin structures, tertiary structures, and other indicators. The sequence information is presented in Table 1. All primers and probes were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). Fragments (300 bp, 425 bp, and 276 bp) from the SpA, GyrB, and OmpA genes of SA, PA, and AB, respectively, that were cloned into the pUC57 vector were provided by TsingKe Biotech Corp. (Beijing, China). The recombinant plasmid DNA was quantified using the Qubit® dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, United States) and Qubit2.0 fluorescence quantitative meter (Life Technologies, United States). The plasmid copy numbers were calculated using the following formula: plasmid copy number (copy number/ $\mu$ L) = [6.02 × 10<sup>23</sup> × plasmid concentration (ng/ $\mu$ L) × 10<sup>-9</sup>] / [plasmid length × 660]<sup>[25]</sup>. Finally, the standard plasmids were prepared in 1 × TE buffer in a 10-fold concentration gradient, and the concentration used ranged from 1 to  $10^5$  copies/µL. All plasmids were kept at a temperature of -20 °C until use.

#### Establishment and Optimization of M-RAP Assay

Based on previous studies conducted in our laboratory<sup>[26]</sup>, the optimal volume ratio of RAA for qPCR was 1:4. Docosane (JSNEB, Hong Kong, China) solidified at room temperature and melted above 44 °C, creating an impermeable barrier that exhibited the best blocking effect when 30  $\mu$ L was added. M-RAP was performed in two stages in a single tube: the first stage involved the use of an upper RAA

system, while the second stage involved the use of a lower qPCR system separated by a thermally removable dodecane barrier in the middle. The probes for SA, PA, and AB were labeled with FAM, VIC, and ROX fluorophores, respectively, so that they could be detected simultaneously in the same RAP reaction system for blood-infected bacteria.

The total reaction volume of M-RAP was 50 µL, including 10 µL of RAA in the upper layer of the reaction system (Amp-Future, Changzhou, China). The RAA mixture consisted of 5.88  $\mu$ L of buffer, 0.92 µL of DEPC water, 1 µL of magnesium acetate (140 mmol/L), 0.2 µL of RAA forward and reverse primers (10  $\mu$ mol/L), and 1  $\mu$ L of DNA template. The 40 µL of lower qPCR (Entrans qPCR Probe Set V2, ABclonal, Wuhan, China) comprised 12.5 µL of buffer, 20.7 µL of DEPC water, 0.5 µL of PCR forward and reverse primer (10 µmol/L), 0.5 µL of PCR probe (10 µmol/L), 0.6 µL of dNTP (10 mmol/L), 0.5 µL of Taq DNA polymerase, and 1.2  $\mu$ L of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (50 mmol/L). First, 40 µL of qPCR mixture was added to the reaction unit tube; then, 30 µL of docosane was added to solidify the mixture on the upper layer of the qPCR system after 1 min at 60 °C and 30 s at 4 °C. Then, 8 µL of RAA mixture and 1 µL of DNA template were added to the solid surface. Finally, 1 µL of magnesium acetate was added to the inner surface of the tube cover for instantaneous centrifugation. The M-RAP reaction conditions were 39 °C for 14 min and 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. Positive standard plasmids with concentration gradients of  $1-10^5$ 

Region	Primer/probe	Sequence (5′–3′)	Source
	SA-RAA-F	ACCTGGTAAAGAAGATGGTAACGGAGTACA	This paper
	SA-RAA-R	CTGCACCTAAGGCTAATGATAATCCACCAA	This paper
SpA	SA-qPCR-F	TACATGTCGTTAAACCTGGTG	[21]
	SA-qPCR-R	TACAGTTGTACCGATGAATGG	[21]
	SA-qPCR-P <sup>a</sup>	FAM-CAAACGGCACTACTGCTGACAAAATTGCTGCA-BHQ1	[21]
	PA-RAA-F	AAGGAAGAAGGGGTTTCTGCGGCGGAAGTG	This paper
	PA-RAA-R	CCGCCTTCGTACTTGAACAGCTCCTCCTTG	This paper
GyrB	PA-qPCR-F	CCTGACCATCCGTCGCCACAAC	[22]
	PA-qPCR-R	CGCAGCAGGATGCCGACGCC	[22]
	PA-qPCR-P <sup>a</sup>	VIC-CCGTGGTGGTAGACCTGTTCCCAGACC-BHQ1	[22]
	AB-RAA-F	GTACTTTAGGTAACGCTGGTGTTGGTGCTT	This paper
	AB-RAA-R	TGTTAGTATCAAAGAACACACGAAGTTCCA	This paper
OmpA	AB-qPCR-F	TCTTGGTGGTCACTTGAAGC	[23]
	AB-qPCR-R	ACTCTTGTGGTTGTGGAGCA	[23]
	AB-qPCR-P <sup>a</sup>	ROX-AAGTTGCTCCAGTTGAACCAACTCCA-BHQ2	[23]

Table 1. Primer and probe sequences used in this study

**Note.** <sup>a</sup>Probe modifications: FAM, 6-carboxyfuorescein; VIC, 6-phosphoramidite; ROX, 5-carboxy-X-rhodamine; BHQ, black hole quencher; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*; *SpA*, staphylococcal protein A; *GyrB*, gyrase B; *OmpA*, outer membrane protein A; RAA, recombinase-aided amplification; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

copies/ $\mu$ L for SA, PA, and AB were used as templates to determine the reaction time (10, 12, 14, and 16 min) of the upper RAA; then, the second stage of qPCR amplification was performed to determine the optimal reaction time of RAA. The M-RAP detection principle is shown in Figure 1B.

# Sensitivity, Repeatability, and Specificity of M-RAP Assay

To evaluate the sensitivity and repeatability of the M-RAP methods, recombinant plasmids with SA,

PA, and AB concentrations of  $1-10^5$  copies/ $\mu$ L and the diluted nucleic acids of the standard strain with concentrations of  $10^{-6}$ – $10^{0}$  ng/µL were used to evaluate the sensitivity of the M-RAP method for BSI. DEPC water was used as the negative control for each test, and each concentration was tested eight times. In addition, other BSI-related pathogens were used to evaluate the specificity of M-RAP methods, including SA, PA, AB, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli, **Staphylococcus** epidermidis, Enterococcus Enterococcus faecalis, faecium,



**Figure 1.** The enrichment process of M1 beads (A) and the detection principle of M-RAP (B). M1 protein, recombinant human mannan-binding lectin protein; M1 beads, M1 protein conjugated magnetic beads; RAP, recombinase-aided PCR; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

Enterobacter cloacae, Neisseria meningitidis, Pseudomonas maltophilia, Proteus mirabilis, Listeria monocytogenes, Candida albicans, Candida tropicalis, Candida parapsilosis, Candida glabrata, and Candida krusei.

### Detection Sensitivity of Ordinary qPCR

To compare the detection sensitivity of M-RAP with that of qPCR alone, the recombinant plasmids SA, PA, and AB and the nucleic acid of the standard strain were used for qPCR detection. The reaction was performed based on the guidelines outlined in the Entrans qPCR Probe Set V2 user manual. The reaction procedure is described in Table 1. The SA amplification process was performed as follows: 95 °C 10 s, 60 °C 10 s, 70 °C 15 s, 40 cycles; PA amplification program: 95 °C 15 min, 95 °C 15 s, and 60 °C 1 min, in 40 cycles. Alternatively, the AB amplification was performed as follows: 95 °C 10 min, 95 °C 30 s, and 62 °C 1 min, in 40 cycles.

# Preparation of Simulated Plasma Samples and M1 beads

The SA, PA, and AB standard strains pre-frozen at -80 °C were inoculated in Columbia blood plate (Hopebiol, Qingdao, China), respectively. The plates were then placed in the CO<sub>2</sub> incubator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Single colonies were selected and inoculated in Brian Heart Infusion culture medium (Hopebiol, Qingdao, China) and grown overnight at 37 °C in an incubator shaking at a speed of 220 rpm (JMLABO, Germany). Subsequently, 1 mL of the bacterial solution was withdrawn and centrifuged. The supernatant was discarded, and 1 mL of PBS (GIBCO, United States) was added for resuspension. After two repetitions of this process, the OD600 values of the three bacterial fluids were adjusted to 0.45-0.5 after adding the PBS (concentration:  $10^{\circ}$  CFU/mL). Then, bacterial fluids of < 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 50, 100, 200, 300, 500, and 1,000 CFU were added to 1 mL of sterilized human plasma to prepare the simulated plasma samples (final concentrations: < 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 50, 100, 200, 300, 500, and 1,000 CFU/mL). The bacterial solution was mixed with 1 mL of PBS in a similar manner to prepare the simulated PBS samples for the calculation of the number of colonies on the plate. The actual number of colonies on the plate was counted after an overnight incubation.

To prepare the M1 beads, 1 mL of PBS was added to 1 mg of protein A magnetic beads (Biocanal, Wuxi, China). The mixture was separated in a magnetic rack for 3 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. This process was repeated twice. The beads were then resuspended in 1 mL of PBS. Next, 232  $\mu$ g of M1 protein was added, and the mixture was blended in a mixer for 30 minutes. Afterward, it was separated in a magnetic rack for 3 minutes, the supernatant was discarded, and the M1 beads were prepared by resuspending them with 100  $\mu$ L PBS.

## M1 Beads Capture Bacteria and Preparation of Nucleic Acid

Simulated plasma samples (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 CFU) were introduced to a 14-mL round-bottomed tube, and M1 beads (1 mg) were added. Subsequently, the system was replenished to 10 mL with PBS. A final concentration of 4 mmol/L Cacl<sub>2</sub> (Yuanye, Shanghai, China) was added, and the mixture was thoroughly mixed for 1 h. After placing it on a magnetic rack to separate magnetically, the supernatant was discarded. The system was then washed three times using PBS to remove the plasma components and pathogenic bacteria that had not been adsorbed onto the M1 beads. Finally, the solution was resuspended in 400 µL of PBS. The PBS-simulated samples (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 CFU) were also enriched using the same method; after overnight cultivation on the plate, the number of colonies was counted. A Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) was used to extract DNA from both unenriched simulated plasma specimens and enriched M1 bead suspensions as templates for M-RAP and gPCR. The preparation and enrichment processes for the M1 beads are shown in Figure 1A.

# Evaluation and Comparison of Simulated Samples and Clinical Samples

After nucleic acid extraction from the simulated plasma samples with different concentrations of SA, PA, and AB bacteria before and after enrichment, M-RAP testing and qPCR testing were performed separately, and the results were compared. These experiments were performed in triplicate. For clinical sample testing, 30 heparin anticoagulant plasma samples were collected from patients with positive results on blood culture tests, including 10 with SA, 10 with PA, and 10 with AB, as well as 30 blood culture-negative samples. After enrichment with M1 beads, M-RAP and qPCR assays were performed. The consistency of the two detection methods was compared to evaluate the clinical performance of the M-RAP detection method.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics version 25 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Kappa analysis was used to evaluate the consistency of these two methods, and a P value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

### RESULTS

#### **Optimization of M-RAP Assay**

The upper RAA reaction time was adjusted from 10 to 16 min to determine the optimal reaction time for the first stage of M-RAP. The sensitivity of the RAA reaction gradually decreased until the optimal range for the reaction was achieved. The experimental results (Figure 2A–C) indicated that in the M-RAPs, the detection results were better when the first-stage RAA reaction times for SA, PA, and AB were set at 14, 14, and 16 min, respectively. Due to the comparable cycle threshold (*Ct*) values of AB at 14 and 16 min of RAA reaction, a 14-minute enrichment time was subsequently adopted.

#### Sensitivity and Repeatability of M-RAP Assay

Recombinant plasmids for SA, PA, and AB and diluted standard nucleic acids were used to evaluate the sensitivity of the M-RAP approach. The recombinant plasmid sequence was short and contained sequences that complemented the sequences of primers and probes tested. By contrast, the nucleic acids of the standard strains contained complete genetic information of the pathogens. Therefore, both recombinant plasmids and nucleic acids should be used to comprehensively evaluate the detection methods. In eight repeated experiments (Supplementary Table S1, available in www.besjournal.com), M-RAP detected 1, 10, and 1 copies/µL of SA, PA, and AB recombinant plasmids, respectively (Figure 3A-C). M-RAP successfully detected nucleic acid concentrations of  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-5}$ , and  $10^{-6}$  ng/µL from SA, PA, and AB (Figure 3D–F). No amplification curve was observed in the negative control, and repeated tests showed consistent results.

### Specificity of M-RAP Assay

The M-RAP assay specifically detected the fluorescence signals of SA, PA, and AB in the three channels and had no cross-reactivity with the other 18 common pathogens associated with BSI (Table 2).

#### Sensitivity of Ordinary qPCR Detection

Recombinant plasmids for SA, PA, and AB as well as diluted standard strain nucleic acids were used to evaluate the sensitivity of the qPCR methods. In eight repeated experiments, qPCR successfully detected 10,  $10^2$ , and 10 copies/µL of SA, PA, and AB recombinant plasmids, respectively (Figure 4A–C). qPCR successfully detected nucleic acid concentrations of  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ , and  $10^{-5}$  ng/µL from SA, PA, and AB (Figure 4D–F). No amplification curve was observed in the negative control, and repeated tests showed consistent results.

# Comparison of Results Between M-RAP and qPCR before and after Enrichment of Simulated Samples

The limits of detection (LOD) of M-RAP for SA, PA, and AB simulated plasma samples were approximately 100, 300, and 50 CFU/mL, respectively, before enrichment with M1 beads (Figure 5A–C). The LOD of qPCR for SA-, PA-, and ABsimulated plasma samples were approximately 300, 500, and 200 CFU/mL, respectively, before enrichment with the M1 beads (Figure 5D-F). After enrichment with M1 beads, the LODs of M-RAP for SA, PA, and AB simulated plasma samples were determined to be 2, 3, and 1 CFU/mL (Figure 6A-C), respectively, corresponding to the number of spread plate colonies (Supplementary Figure S1A-C, available in www.besjournal.com). Meanwhile, the



**Figure 2.** Relative fluorescence curves of the SA, PA, and AB RAP assays with different RAA incubation durations (10, 12, 14, and 16 min). RFU, relative fluorescence units; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*; RAA, recombinase aided amplification.



**Figure 3.** M-RAP sensitivity evaluation by copy number determination of the plasmids and nucleic acids of standard strains. The curves with different colors correspond to the amplification efficiency of the qPCR stage of M-RAP with different template concentrations (plasmids or international standard strain). (A–F) Sensitivity analysis of the SA, PA, and AB M-RAP assay results. Relative fluorescence curves of SA (A), PA (B), and AB (C) with different concentrations (1–10<sup>5</sup> copies/µL) using M-RAP. Relative fluorescence curves of SA (D), PA (E), and AB (F) with standard strain nucleic acids from  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-1}$  ng/µL using M-RAP. RFU, relative fluorescence units; NC, negative control; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

Strains	Origin	M-RAP (SA)	M-RAP (PA)	M-RAP (AB)
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 29213	Pos (8/8ª)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 27853	Neg (0/8)	Pos (8/8)	Neg (0/8)
Acinetobacter baumannii	ATCC 19606	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Pos (8/8)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	ATCC 11296	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Escherichia coli	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Staphylococcus epidermidis	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Enterococcus faecium	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Enterococcus faecalis	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Enterobacter cloacae	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Neisseria meningitidis	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Pseudomonas maltophilia	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Proteus mirabilis	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Listeria monocytogenes	Isolated strains	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Candida albicans	ATCC 753	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Candida tropicalis	ATCC 750	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Candida parapsilosis	ATCC 22019	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Candida glabrata	ATCC 2001	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)
Candida krusei	ATCC 6258	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)	Neg (0/8)

Table 2. Bacteria	l strains used in	the specificity test
-------------------	-------------------	----------------------

*Note*. <sup>a</sup>The first number indicates the number of times a positive result was obtained. The second number indicates the number of times a negative result was obtained. Neg, negative; Pos, positive; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*; M-RAP, multiple recombinase-aided PCR.

LODs of qPCR for SA-, PA-, and AB-simulated plasma samples were 5, 6, and 3 CFU/mL (Figure 6D–F),

corresponding to the number of spread plate colonies (Supplementary Figure S1A–C).



**Figure 4.** qPCR sensitivity evaluation by copy number determination of the plasmids and the standard strain nucleic acids. The curves with different colors correspond to the amplification efficiency at optimal reaction conditions (plasmids or standard strain). (A–F) Sensitivity analysis of the SA, PA, and AB qPCR assay results. Relative fluorescence curve of SA (A), PA (B), and AB (C) at different concentrations (1– $10^5$  copies/µL) using qPCR. Relative fluorescence curve of SA (D), PA (E), and AB (F) standard strain nucleic acid from  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-1}$  ng/µL using qPCR. RFU, relative fluorescence units; NC, negative control; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.



**Figure 5.** Evaluation of the LODs of simulated plasma samples prior to enrichment (A–F). The SA, PA, and AB M-RAP assays (A–C). The SA, PA, and AB qPCR assays (D–F). RFU, relative fluorescence units; NC, negative control; CFU, colony forming unit; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

### Evaluation and Comparison of Clinical Sample Detection Results Using M-RAP and qPCR

Blood culture remains the gold standard for detecting BSI; however, factors such as insufficient blood collection, low number of pathogenic bacteria, incorrect timing of blood collection, use of antibiotics before blood collection, improper transportation, and failure to detect bacteria under strict nutritional conditions can lead to a low positive blood culture rate<sup>[27]</sup>. In addition, different sample types were used for blood culture and PCR. Whole blood samples were employed for blood culture, while plasma samples were utilized for PCR. The absence of components such as white blood cells in the plasma may have resulted in the loss of some bacteria, leading to a lower PCR positivity rate compared with that of the blood culture. A total of 60 plasma samples, of which 30 plasma specimens from blood culture-positive patients and 30 plasma specimens from blood culture-negative patients were enriched by M1 beads to assess the clinical manifestations of M-RAP. qPCR assays of both sets of specimens were performed, and the results were compared (Table 3). The Kappa values of 0.839, 0.815, and 0.856 were obtained, all exceeding 0.75, with a *P* value of < 0.05 indicating a high level of consistency in the detection results of the two methods.

## DISCUSSION

In recent years, the incidence and mortality rates of BSI caused by bacteria have increased with the increase in invasive surgery and long-term use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids<sup>[28-30]</sup>.



**Figure 6.** Evaluation of the LODs of the simulated plasma samples after enrichment (A–F). The SA, PA, and AB M-RAP assays (A–C). The SA, PA, and AB qPCR assays (D–F). RFU, relative fluorescence units; NC, negative control; CFU, colony forming unit; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

Species	M-RAP		qPCR			Kanna valuo	
	Positive	Negative	Positive accuracy rate (%)	Positive	Negative	Positive accuracy rate (%)	Kappa value
SA	8	52	80	6	54	60	0.839
PA	7	53	70	5	55	50	0.815
AB	9	51	90	7	53	70	0.856

Table 3. Detection of SA, PA, and AB in clinical samples

*Note.* SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; AB, *Acinetobacter baumannii*; M-RAP, multiple recombinase-aided PCR; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Among these, SA is the most common cause of gram-positive BSI in developed countries, with an annual incidence rate of 10-30/100,000, and the incidence of methicillin-resistant *SA* (MRSA) is increasing each year<sup>[31-32]</sup>. PA and AB are common bloodstream infectious bacteria that can invade a patient's blood to form disseminated BSI, especially in patients with a compromised immune system. These bacteria exhibit a high mortality rate and are prone to developing resistance to multiple drugs<sup>[33-34]</sup>. Therefore, the prompt diagnosis of BSI holds great significance for the effective treatment of patients and contributes to enhancing their prognosis.

The M-RAP detection method established in this study combines the advantages of RAA rapidity and qPCR universality, with a shorter reaction time and higher sensitivity compared with qPCR alone. The M-RAP system includes a pair of RAA primers (outer primers), qPCR primers (inner primers), and probes. In the first stage, RAA amplification enriched the DNA template; then, the temperature was increased to inactivate the enzymes in the RAA system and dissolve the docosane. The amplified products were then introduced into the qPCR system; in the second stage, amplification and detection were carried out using a pair of qPCR primers and the corresponding qPCR probes. Due to the presence of both inner and outer sets of primers in the RAP system, it also has high specificity.

The entire process was completed in a closed PCR tube without opening the cover in the middle to avoid contamination of the sample and environment<sup>[35]</sup>. RAP combines the fast and efficient performance of RAA with the flexibility of TagMan short probes in qPCR, eliminating the need to design intricate RAA probes. In addition, RAP does not rely on isothermal detection equipment and can be amplified using conventional qPCR instruments. Hence, most laboratories use this technology. The polysaccharide residues recognized by the M1 protein exist widely on the surface of various pathogens, including bacteria, fungi, viruses, etc.<sup>[36]</sup>. M1 beads have good enrichment effects on common pathogenic bacteria in BSI<sup>[37]</sup>. Owing to the low concentration of pathogenic bacteria in the patient's blood, conventional molecular biology methods have limited sensitivity and cannot effectively detect them<sup>[38-39]</sup>. Therefore, M1 beads should be used for the pretreatment of the plasma sample to capture pathogenic bacteria. After enrichment, the relative concentration of pathogenic bacteria can be increased, and other interfering components in the plasma can be removed, thereby enhancing amplification efficiency and sensitivity<sup>[40]</sup>. Enriched live, intact bacteria can also be used for drug sensitivity testing. Since M1 beads can be stored for 14 d at 4 °C, they need to be specially prepared before each test, which increases the complexity of the experiment. Therefore, M1 beads can be prepared in advance, eliminating the preparation process and simplifying the experimental steps. During the experiment, some individual plasma samples might have exhibited agglutination around the M1 beads after enrichment. This could be attributed to inadequate heparin anticoagulation and the presence of residual fibrinogen in the plasma, which formed fibrin on the surface of the magnetic beads and wrapped around the magnetic beads, thus affecting the enrichment efficiency of the magnetic beads<sup>[41]</sup>.

Many studies have reported the sensitivity of isothermal amplification or qPCR to detect pathogens; among them, the LOD for loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) in detecting MRSA was  $10^2$  copies/µL, while the LODs for recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) in detecting Vibrio Cholerae and Vibrio Parahaemolyticus were 37 and 99 CFU/µL, respectively. The LOD for reverse transcription recombinase-aided amplification (RT-RAA) in detecting Wuxiang virus was 10 pfu/reaction viral RNA, while the LOD for qPCR in detecting *Clostridioides difficile* was 88.56 copies/reaction<sup>[42-45]</sup>. These detection methods cannot achieve the accuracy of blood culture, while the LOD of M-RAP was 1–10 copies/ $\mu$ L for SA, PA, and AB in this study. We observed a substantial reduction in the LODs of SA, PA, and AB following the addition of M1 beads to enhance pathogen enrichment. This finding suggests the significant role played by M1 beads in the process. In addition, the commonly used qPCR technology is unable to directly detect blood specimens containing fewer than <10 CFU/mL of bacteria owing to its low sensitivity without the enrichment culture of the patient's blood specimen. Obtaining positive blood cultures after preprocessing the blood specimen is a timeconsuming process, which is not conducive to the early treatment of patients with bacteremia. In clinical plasma specimens from 30 blood culturepositive patients, even in the presence of M1 bead enrichment, the qPCR assay showed two more falsenegative results for SA, PA, and AB, which could easily lead to missed detection in clinical settings. Inaccurate results could interfere with clinicians' early judgment. Although we were unable to collect clinical blood samples that were infected with multiple pathogenic bacteria, M-RAP successfully established a multiple reaction system, demonstrating the feasibility of the detection method. Our established M-RAP assay revealed higher sensitivity and accuracy. It could directly detect plasma from patients with < 10 CFU/mL bacteremia without the need for blood specimen culture and could obtain valid results within 4 h. This presents a novel approach for detecting bacteremia in patients.

However, the M-RAP assay has some limitations. Previous experiments in our laboratory suggested that this may be due to the by-products produced by the RAA reaction, which inhibit the amplification efficiency of qPCR<sup>[15]</sup>. Due to the extremely high amplification efficiency of RAA, some samples already reached the threshold of qPCR detection during the RAA amplification period; hence, no CT value was generated in the second stage. Consequently, M-RAP cannot accurately quantify the copy number in samples; it serves as a qualitative detection method<sup>[15]</sup>. Additionally, although the M-RAP was performed in a single tube, the two reaction stages were separated by physical barriers, increasing the complexity of the experiment.

#### CONCLUSION

In summary, the integration of M-RAP with magnetic bead separation technology enables the direct detection of clinical plasma samples. This approach facilitates the rapid detection of trace amounts (< 10 CFU/mL) of common bacteria in the blood. eliminates the time-consuming microbiological culture process, shortens the detection time, and provides a new method for the early detection of trace pathogens in the blood of patients with BSI, which is of great significance and advances the rapid diagnosis of BSI in the early stages.

Although the M-RAP assay has some limitations, it is a stable, fast, highly sensitive, and specific detection method. M-RAP technology can not only be used to detect bacteria, fungi, viruses, and other pathogens but can also be combined with other technologies, such as microfluidics technology, to achieve highthroughput detection in different scenarios with broad application potential in clinical practice.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Not applicable

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SHEN Xin Xin, MA Xue Jun, and TIE Yan Qing planned the experiments, provided ideas, and drafted the manuscript. ZHAO Zi Jin performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. CHEN Xiao Ping provided the standard strains and M proteins. HUA Shao Wei and LI Feng Yu conceived study, supervised the analysis, the and contributed to the data interpretation. ZHAO Meng helped analyze the data. XING Chen Hao collected the clinical samples. WANG Jie, TIAN Feng Yu, and ZHANG Rui Qing provided technical guidance. LYU Xiao Na, HAN Zhi Qiang, WANG Yu Xin, and LI Hong Yi helped with some experiments and contributed to and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Received: August 29, 2023; Accepted: November 20, 2023

#### REFERENCES

- Karunakaran R, Raja NS, Quek KF, et al. Evaluation of the routine use of the anaerobic bottle when using the BACTEC blood culture system. J Microbiol Immunol Infect, 2007; 40, 445–9.
- De Rosa FG, Corcione S, Motta I, et al. Risk factors for mortality in patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infection. J Chemother, 2016; 28, 187–90.
- McCarthy KL, Paterson DL. Long-term mortality following *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bloodstream infection. J Hosp Infect, 2017; 95, 292–9.
- Falcone M, Tiseo G, Carbonara S, et al. Mortality attributable to bloodstream infections caused by different carbapenemresistant gram-negative bacilli: results from a nationwide study in Italy (ALARICO Network). Clin Infect Dis, 2023; 76, 2059–69.
- Schulman J, Benitz WE, Profit J, et al. Newborn antibiotic exposures and association with proven bloodstream infection. Pediatrics, 2019; 144, e20191105.
- Kawamura H, Takahashi N, Takahashi M, et al. The differences in microorganism growth on various dressings used to cover injection sites: inspection of the risk of catheter-related bloodstream infections caused by Gram-negative bacilli. Surg Today, 2014; 44, 2339–44.
- Book M, Lehmann LE, Zhang XH, et al. Monitoring infection: from blood culture to polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Best Prac Res Clin Anaesthesiol, 2013; 27, 279–88.
- Maham S, Fallah F, Gholinejad Z, et al. Bacterial etiology and antibiotic resistance pattern of pediatric bloodstream infections: a multicenter based study in Tehran, Iran. Ann Ig, 2018; 30, 337–45.
- Opota O, Jaton K, Greub G. Microbial diagnosis of bloodstream infection: towards molecular diagnosis directly from blood. Clin Microbiol Infec, 2015; 21, 323–31.

- Dark P, Dunn G, Chadwick P, et al. The clinical diagnostic accuracy of rapid detection of healthcare-associated bloodstream infection in intensive care using multipathogen real-time PCR technology. BMJ Open, 2011; 1, e000181.
- Li, J, Shang, MY, Deng, SL, et al. Development of a novel integrated isothermal amplification system for detection of bacteria-spiked blood samples. AMB Express, 2023; 13, 135.
- Ma XJ. Isothermal amplification technology for diagnosis of COVID-19: current status and future prospects. Zoonoses, 2022; 2, 5.
- Sun JN, Zhao S, Wei CZ, et al. A diagnostic test of real-time PCR detection in the diagnosis of clinical bloodstream infection. Ann Palliat Med, 2022; 11, 3224–30.
- 14. Fan GH, He XZ, Zhang RQ, et al. A rapid and highly sensitive multiple detection of human adenovirus type 3, type 7 and respiratory syncytial virus by recombinase-aided reverse transcription PCR. J Clin Lab Anal, 2023; 37, e24889.
- 15. Fan GH, Zhang RQ, He XZ, et al. RAP: a novel approach to the rapid and highly sensitive detection of respiratory viruses. Front Bioeng Biotechnol, 2021; 9, 766411.
- Chen XL, Zheng H, Li WG, et al. Direct blood culturing of Candida spp. on solid medium by a rapid enrichment method with magnetic beads coated with recombinant human Mannan-binding lectin. J Clin Microbiol, 2020; 58, e00057–20.
- Li JY, Chen XP, Tie YQ, et al. Detection of low-load Epstein-Barr virus in blood samples by enriched recombinase aided amplification assay. AMB Exp, 2022; 12, 71.
- Moodley A, Stegger M, Ben Zakour NL, et al. Tandem repeat sequence analysis of staphylococcal protein A (spa) gene in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. Vet Microbiol, 2009; 135, 320–6.
- Motoshima M, Yanagihara K, Fukushima K, et al. Rapid and accurate detection of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by real-time polymerase chain reaction with melting curve analysis targeting *gyrB* gene. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2007; 58, 53–8.
- Turton JF, Gabriel SN, Valderrey C, et al. Use of sequencebased typing and multiplex PCR to identify clonal lineages of outbreak strains of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Clin Microbiol Infect, 2007; 13, 807–15.
- Haldar M, Butler M, Quinn CD, et al. Evaluation of a real-time PCR assay for simultaneous detection of *Kingella kingae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* from synovial fluid in suspected septic arthritis. Ann Lab Med, 2014; 34, 313–6.
- 22. Anuj SN, Whiley DM, Kidd TJ, et al. Identification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by a duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assay targeting the *ecfX* and the *gyrB* genes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2009; 63, 127–31.
- McConnell MJ, Pérez-Ordóñez A, Pérez-Romero P, et al. Quantitative real-time PCR for detection of *Acinetobacter baumannii* colonization in the hospital environment. J Clin Microbiol, 2012; 50, 1412–4.
- 24. Zhang XY, Jian YN, Guo ZH, et al. Establishment and preliminary application of a recombinase-aided isothermal amplification assay-based multiplex nucleic acid assay for detection of three *Echinococcus* species. Chin J Schistosomiasis Control, 2021; 33, 339–45. (In Chinese)
- 25. Nie MZ, Zhang RQ, Zhao MC, et al. Development of a duplex recombinase-aided amplification assay for direct detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* in clinical samples. J Microbiol Methods, 2022; 198, 106504.
- 26. Li FY, Guo YH, Sun ZL, et al. Rapid two-stage amplification in a single tube for simultaneous detection of norovirus GII and group a rotavirus. J Clin Lab Anal, 2023; 37, e24858.
- Xu KM, Gilani S, Wang H, et al. Blood culture contamination in the clinical microbiology laboratory of a teaching hospital. Am J Clin Pathol, 2019; 152, S133.
- 28. Rhee C, Dantes R, Epstein L, et al. Incidence and trends of

sepsis in us hospitals using clinical vs claims data, 2009-2014. JAMA, 2017; 318, 1241-9.

- 29. Pérez-González LF, Ruiz-González JM, Noyola DE. Nosocomial bacteremia in children: a 15-year experience at a general hospital in Mexico. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 2007; 28, 418–22.
- 30. Stevenson M, Pandor A, Martyn-St James M, et al. Sepsis: the LightCycler SeptiFast Test MGRADE<sup>®</sup>, SepsiTest<sup>™</sup> and IRIDICA BAC BSI assay for rapidly identifying bloodstream bacteria and fungi a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess, 2016; 20, 1–246.
- Laupland KB, Lyytikäinen O, Søgaard M, et al. The changing epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infection: a multinational population-based surveillance study. Clin Microbiol Infect, 2013; 19, 465–71.
- 32. Xiao YH, Han WH, Wang BJ, et al. Phylogenetic analysis and virulence characteristics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST764-SCCmec II: an emerging hypervirulent clone ST764-t1084 in China. Emerg Microbes Infect, 2023; 12, 2165969.
- Yang AF, Huang V, Samaroo-Campbell J, et al. Multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a 2019-2020 single center retrospective case control study. Infect Prev Pract, 2023; 5, 100296.
- 34. Kollef M, Dupont H, Greenberg DE, et al. Prospective role of cefiderocol in the management of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections: review of the evidence. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 2023; 62, 106882.
- 35. Tian FY, Jin C, Ji SZ, et al. A rapid and sensitive detection of HIV-1 with a one-pot two-stage reverse transcription recombinase aided real-time PCR assay. Trop Med Infect Dis, 2023; 8, 105.
- Heitzeneder S, Seidel M, Förster-Waldl E, et al. Mannanbinding lectin deficiency - Good news, bad news, doesn't matter? Clin Immunol, 2012; 143, 22-38.
- 37. Zheng H, Chen XL, Li WG, et al. Establishment of a fast diagnostic method for sepsis pathogens based on m1 bead enrichment. Curr Microbiol, 2023; 80, 166.
- Lehmann LE, Hunfeld KP, Emrich T, et al. A multiplex real-time PCR assay for rapid detection and differentiation of 25 bacterial and fungal pathogens from whole blood samples. Med Microbiol Immunol, 2008; 197, 313–24.
- Mancini N, Carletti S, Ghidoli N, et al. The era of molecular and other non-culture-based methods in diagnosis of sepsis. Clin Microbiol Rev, 2010; 23, 235–51.
- 40. Zhang MY, Chen XP, Sun XL, et al. Establishment of a recombined mannose-binding lectin protein-magnetic beadsenriched binding recombinant enzyme-assisted polymerase chain reaction assay for Candida in blood samples. Chin J Epidemiol, 2023; 44, 823–7. (In Chinese)
- 41. Norris LA. Blood coagulation. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol, 2003; 17, 369–83.
- Misawa Y, Saito R, Moriya K, et al. Application of loopmediated isothermal amplification technique to rapid and direct detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in blood cultures. J Infect Chemother, 2007; 13, 134-40.
- Liao L, Shi W, Ma C, et al. Duplex detection of Vibrio Cholerae and Vibrio Parahaemolyticus by real-time recombinase polymerase amplification. Biomed Environ Sci, 2022; 35, 1161–5.
- 44. Yao XH, Hu DH, Fu SH, et al. A reverse-transcription recombinase-aided amplification assay for the rapid detection of the Wuxiang Virus. Biomed Environ Sci, 2022; 35, 746–9.
- 45. Yin CH, Song ZY, Liu XX, et al. Comparison of Microdroplet digital PCR assays with real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR for Clostridioides difficile detection. Biomed Environ Sci, 2023; 36, 653–7.