Predictive Values of Body Mass Index and Waist Circumference for Risk Factors of Certain Related Diseases in Chinese Adults — Study on Optimal Cut-off Points of Body Mass Index and Waist Circumference in Chinese Adults[1]

ZHOU BEI-FAN[2]

Cooperative Meta-Analysis Group of the Working Group on Obesity in China[*]

Objective For prevention of obesity in Chinese population, it is necessary to define the optimal range of healthy weight and the appropriate cut-off points of BMI and waist circumference for Chinese adults. The Working Group on Obesity in China under the support of International Life Sciences Institute Focal point in China organized a meta-analysis on the relation between BMI. waist circumference and risk factors of related chronic diseases (e. g.. high diabetes, diabetes mellitus, and lipoprotein disorders). **Methods** 13 population studies in all met the criteria for enrollment, with data of 239 972 adults (20-70 year) surveyed in the 1990s. Data on waist circumference was available for 111411 persons and data on serum lipids and glucose were available for more than 80 000. The study populations located in 21 provinces. municipalities and autonomous regions in mainland China as well as in Taiwan. Each enrolled study provided data according to a common protocol and uniform format. The Center for data management in Department of Epidemiology, Fu Wai Hospital was responsible for statistical analysis. **Results and conclusion** The prevalence of hypertension. diabetes, dyslipidemia and clustering of risk factors all increased with increasing levels of BMI or waist circumference. BMI at 24 with best sensitivity and specificity for identification of the risk factors, was recommended as the cut-off point for overweight, BMI at 28 which may identify the risk factors with specificity around 90 % was recommended as the cut-off point for obesity. Waist circumference beyond 85 cm for men and beyond 80 cm for women were recommended as the cut-off points for central obesity. Analysis of population attributable risk percent illustrated that reducing BMI to normal range (<24) could prevent 45%-50% clustering of risk factors. Treatment of obese persons (BMI ⩾ 28)with drugs could prevent 15%-17% clustering of risk factors. The waist circumference controlled under 85 cm for men and under 80 cm for women. could prevent 47%-58% clustering of risk factors. According to these, a classification of overweight and obesity for Chinese adults is recommended.

Key words: Chinese adults: Overweight; Obesity; BMI; Waist circumference

The body mass index of Chinese population is lower than that of the Western countries. however, the trends of mean body mass index and prevalence of overweight in

---

adults have increased significantly in recent 15 years[1]. Overweight and obesity are closely related to risk factors and their clustering for many chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, etc. , and has become a risk factor for coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke[2,3]. Prevention and control of overweight and obesity have become one of the keys for chronic diseases prophylaxis and treatment. Epidemiological survey and population health promotion usually take body mass index [BMI, body weight (kg)/height (m²)] as a useful indicator for measuring whole body obesity, and waist circumference (cm) as a measurement of abdominal fat accumulation, i. e. the indicator of central obesity. At present, WHO recommended that BMI range of 25-29.9 kg/m² as the criteria for overweight, and $\geqslant$ 30 kg/m² for obesity. However, the data used to derive this criteria were from the Western populations[4]. Scientists of Asian countries have proposed a new definition on obesity that is body mass indices of 23-24. 9 kg/m² for overweight, and $\geqslant$ 25 kg/m² for obesity in Asian populations; nevertheless, the basis of this new definition did not include the data of mainland of China and Taiwan. For the sake of prevention and treatment of overweight and obesity in Chinese population, it is necessary to determine the optimal range of body mass index and cut-off point for overweight and obesity in Chinese adults. Therefore, the Working Group on Obesity in China (WGOC) under ILSI focal Point in China has assigned the Department of Epidemiology, Fu Wai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences as the statistical center for meta-analysis, and has organized the collection and analysis of existing study data. The meta-analyses included both cross-sectional and prospective data, the former analysed the predictive values for different cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference to risk factors of related diseases, and the latter paid particular attention to the relation between body mass index and total mortality and incidence of cardiovascular diseases (it will be reported in another article).

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS

Every participating study provided its data on a voluntary basis according to the unified protocol and forms.

Criteria for Recruitment of Study Data

1. Period of cross-sectional survey: from 1990 and after.
2. Number of participants: at least 1 000 persons aged 20 years and over.
3. Anthropometric indicators: at least body weight and height.
4. Indicators of related risk factors: at least one of the following three measurements-blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, and serum total cholesterol.
5. With rigorous quality control measures during data collection.

The selected surveys preferred a large sample size with fairly good quality and more indicators, and did not emphasize the data to be a representative sample of the whole nation.

Definitions of Risk Factors

1. High blood pressure (HBP) : systolic blood pressure (SBP) $\geqslant$ 140 mm Hg, and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $\geqslant$ 90 mm Hg, or current treatment for hypertension with medication.
2. Diabetes mellitus (DM): fasting blood glucose $\geqslant$ 126 mg/dL (owing to most of the

analytical data without glucose tolerance test, so fasting blood glucose was taken as the criterion for clinical diagnosis). Fasting blood glucose $\geqslant$ 110 mg/dL (in fact, the indicator including impaired fasting glucose and diabetes mellitus of clinical diagnosis, was expressed as "IFG" in this article).

3. High (serum) total cholesterol (HTC): TC $\geqslant$ 200 mg/dL.

4. Low (serum) high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (L HDL-C): HDL- C <35 mg/dL.

5. High (serum) triglyceride (HTG): TG $\geqslant$ 200 mg/dL.

6. Clustering of risk factors ($\geqslant$ 2 RFs):subjects with two or more of the above five risk factors.

Methods of Data Collection

Each collaborating center provided its data according to the stratification of samples by gender- and ten-year age group, from 20-, 30-, 40-, 50-, 60- to 70- years and over group (overall, 6 age -specific groups in men and 6 age-specific groups in women). In addition to general description of study data, the forms included the following items: means, standard deviations and percentiles of body weight, height, body mass index and waist circumference by gender- and age-specific groups; prevalences of risk factors and clustering of risk factors by strata of body mass index by gender- and age-specific groups; prevalence of risk factors, and clustering of risk factors by waist circumference by gender and age-specific groups. A few of the collaborating centers provided their data with diskettes directly, such data processing was carried out by the Statistical Center, then filled in the identical forms. All of the data were checked centrally, any discovered problems were verified by relevant centers, and then inputted into the computer for statistical analysis.

Methods of Statistical Analysis

1. Means and standard deviations of body mass index of specific gender-age group of the total population were summed by weighted average method.

2. The total number of persons stratified by body mass index or waist circumference, and the number of persons with different risk factors in each stratum were summed directly and the prevalence of risk factors by gender- and age-specific groups by stratum of BMI or waist circumference, the total prevalence of gender-specific and age-combined groups, as well as the age-adjusted prevalence rate (based on age composition data of 1991 National Population Census) were estimated.

3. Taking the body mass indices of 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 (kg/m^2) as cut-off points, calculating the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and Youden's index (sensitivity+specificity-100) for each risk factor and clustering of risk factors by gender- and age-specific groups and age-combined groups.

4. Taking the waist circumferences of 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100 (cm) as cut-off points, calculating the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and Youden's index (sensitivity+specificity-100) for each risk factor and clustering of risk factors by gender- and age-specific groups and age-combined groups.

5. In light of the foregoing analyses, seeking for the optional cut-off points of body mass index or waist circumference with fairly good sensitivity and specificity, calculating the percentage, P of the study population with BMI or waist circumference above the optional cut-off points, and estimating the age-adjusted OR (odds ratio) of each risk factor at the values above the optional cut-off points, calculating the population attributable

risks percent [PAR(%)] for different risk factors according to the following equation:

$$PAR\ (\%) = 100 \times P\ (OR\text{-}1)/[P\ (\ OR\text{-}1) + 1]\%.$$

RESULTS

General Status of Eligible Samples

Overall, thirteen study projects were conformed with the criteria for recruitment, in which, half of them consisted of population samples from several to more than ten districts. These samples covered the sampling populations of 21 provinces, municipalities and autonomous regions including Heilongjiang, Liaoning, Jilin, Beijing, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Tianjin, Shaanxi, Xinjiang, Ningxia, Gansu, Sichuan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Jiangsu, Anhui, Shanghai, Zhejiang, Shangdong, Henan, Hunan and Taiwan. Most of them were cluster samples. Data from the National Nutrition Examination Survey of Mainland and Taiwan were random samples. Study subjects included urban inhabitants, suburban peasants, inland peasants, fishermen, and industrial workers, etc.

General Status of Data Collection

1. Overall, data of 239 972 persons, 113 410 men and 126 562 women, were available for analysis. Except the groups of 70-year and over of men and women, the remained gender- and age-specific groups possessed with at least ten thousand persons each. However, among them only 111 411 persons (53 103 men and 58 308 women) had waist circumference data. About eighty thousand persons had blood chemistry data, the number of men and women were similar. Except few groups, the subjects in each gender- and age-specific group with specific risk factor data were more than 1 000 persons.

2. The means and standard deviations of height, body weight and body mass index of each gender-specific and age-specific group and the mean and standard deviation of waist circumference, as well as the prevalence of risk factors, are shown in Table 1. From 20-year group, mean body mass index followed an increment of every 10-year group, and reached the maximum in 50-year group, then decreased progressively from 60- to 70-year and above groups in men. The 60-year group of women reached a maximum mean body mass index, then decreased progressively in the 70-year and above group. The changes of waist circumference illustrated a similar pattern. Overall, mean body mass index of women was higher than that of men, however, the waist circumference of men was higher than that of women. Prevalence of each risk factor increased with increasing age in both men and women.

Rate of Risk Factor Stratified by Body Mass Index or Waist Circumference, and Age Standardized Rate

The age standardized rates of risk factor stratified by body mass index of combined age groups, see Fig. 1, and age standardized rates of abnormal risk factor stratified by waist circumference, see Fig. 2. Figs 1 and 2 showed an increasing trend for each risk factor with increasing levels of body mass index or waist circumference. However, the increase of abnormal serum total cholesterol was not significant in women.

Positive and Negative Predictive Values, Sensitivity, Specificity for Each Risk Factor of Gender- and Age-specific Groups Stratified by Different Cut-off Points of Body Mass Indices

Owing to the small number of persons in the 70-year and above groups, the 60- and 70-year and above groups were merged in the analysis. Results demonstrated that specificity and positive predictive value increased progressively with increasing cut-off point of body mass index, and the sensitivity and negative predictive value decreased progressively with increasing cut-off point of body mass index in each gender- and age-specific group. The cut-off points 23, 24, 25 (kg/m^2) of body mass index with fairly good sensitivity and specificity for each risk factor and clustering of risk factors in age combined groups of men and women were selected as the optional cut-off points for overweight, and the cut-off point of 28 (kg/m^2) with specificity over 90% was selected as the reference cut-off point for obesity. The relevant data for identifying clustering of risk factors at these cut-off points are shown in Table 2. Positive and negative predictive values, sensitivity and specificity for identifying each risk factor at body mass indices of 24 and 28 (kg/m^2) are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 1

Gender-, Age-specific Number of Survey Population, Mean and Standard Deviation of Anthropometric Measurements, and Current Prevalence of Risk Factors [a]

		Height (cm)	Body weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m^2)	WC (cm)	HBP	DM	IFG	HTC	LHDL-C	HTG	> 2RFs
		Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Men	20-	168.7(7.1)	61.5(9.1)	21.6(2.7)	74.0(8.2)	6.6	0.6	4.5	6.1	10.3	6.9	7.9
	30-	168.9(6.5)	64.8(9.6)	22.8(3.5)	78.4(9.1)	13.3	2.6	7.3	21.8	7.5	12.6	17.2
	40-	167.7(6.2)	64.7(9.9)	23.2(3.1)	81.2(9.8)	21.5	3.7	10.0	27.5	8.9	15.5	25.5
	50-	166.6(6.2)	64.4(10.6)	23.2(3.1)	81.2(9.8)	32.6	5.9	13.8	30.3	7.8	14.3	33.0
	60-	164.9(6.7)	63.1(10.2)	23.0(3.4)	80.9(14.6)	42.4	8.5	17.6	33.6	8.5	15.5	38.6
	70-	163.3(7.0)	59.7(10.4)	22.3(3.7)	78.9(10.3)	48.6	9.0	15.7	34.9	9.3	3.34	1.2
	Total	167.3(6.5)	63.8(9.9)	22.8(3.3)	79.3(9.8)	43.5	4.8	11.5	27.4	8.3	14.2	27.8
Women	20-	157.5(6.7)	54.0(8.1)	22.7(5.5)	68.9(7.1)	2.5	0.8	7.0	9.0	2.6	3.3	3.7
	30-	157.5(6.2)	56.6(8.6)	22.8(3.1)	72.7(10.4)	7.6	2.1	7.1	16.8	4.6	4.8	8.2
	40-	156.4(5.9)	57.7(9.1)	23.5(3.4)	76.1(8.8)	19.0	3.0	8.4	26.0	5.5	8.2	18.0
	50-	155.1(5.9)	57.7(9.7)	23.8(3.8)	79.0(10.2)	33.5	6.1	13.5	41.8	5.7	14.2	34.5
	60-	153.2(5.9)	56.1(10.1)	23.9(3.9)	79.5(10.5)	45.6	9.8	18.7	48.4	7.3	19.4	46.2
	70-	150.9(6.6)	52.1(10.3)	22.6(3.8)	79.6(20.2)	52.9	11.2	19.2	51.1	9.2	20.5	52.1
	Total	156.0(6.1)	56.5(9.1)	23.3(3.8)	75.6(9.7)	20.6	4.7	11.0	31.3	5.6	11.0	25.2

[a] Abbreviations: BMI – Body mass index. WC – Waist circumference. HBP – High blood pressure. DM – Diabetes meilitus. IFG – Impaired fasting blood glucose. HTC – High total cholesterol. L HDL-C – Low high density lipoprotein-cholesterol. HTG – High triglycerides. > 2RFs – > 2 Risk factors.

Positive and Negative Predictive Values, Sensitivity and Specificity for Each Risk Factor of Gender- and Age- specific Groups Stratified by Different Cut-off Points of Waist Circumferences

For the selected the cut-off points of 80, 85, 90 (cm) in men, and 75, 80, 85 (cm) in women with fairly good sensitivity and specificity, the relevant data for clustering of risk factor of age combined groups are shown in Table 4. The relevant data of each risk factor for waist circumference of 85 (cm) in men and 80 (cm) in women, see Table 5.

Standardized rate of risk factors
by BMI(men)

FIG. 1-1. Relation between body mass index (in men) and the standardized rate of risk factor.

Standardized rate of risk factors
by BMI(women)

FIG. 1-2. Relation between body mass index (in women) and the standardized rate of risk factors.

Population Attributable Risk (PAR %) of Risk Factors Above the Cut-off Points of Body Mass Indices and Waist Circumference

The population attributable risk percent of each risk factor at cut-off points 24 and 28 of BMI are summerized in Table 6. Those at waist circumferences of 85 (cm) in men and 80 (cm) in women are summerized in Table 7.

DISCUSSION

Studies verified that overweight and obesity correlated significantly with risk

FIG. 2-1. Relation between waist circumference and standardized rate of risk factors (men).

FIG. 2-2. Relation between waist circumference and standardized rate of risk factors (women).

TABLE 2

Positive and Negative Predictive Values, Sensitivity and Specificity for Identifying Clustering of Risk Factors
(≥ 2Rfs) at Body Mass Index Cut-off Points of 23, 24, 25 and 28 (kg/m²)

|	BMI (kg/m²)	Prevalence of Clustering of Risk Factors	Positive Predictive Value	Negative Index	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden' Index
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Men	≥ 23	27.8	37.04	83.71	73.79	51.79	25.58
	≥ 24	27.8	39.75	81.41	62.07	63.85	25.92
	≥ 25	27.8	42.96	79.37	49.42	74.79	24.21
	≥ 28	27.8	51.99	74.50	16.00	94.32	10.32
Women	≥ 23	25.2	33.47	85.06	73.41	50.92	24.33
	≥ 24	25.2	35.93	83.37	63.15	62.13	25.28
	≥ 25	25.2	38.43	81.52	51.20	72.42	23.62
	≥ 28	25.2	45.81	77.68	22.08	91.21	13.29

TABLE 3

Positive and Negative Predictive Values, Sensitivity and Specifity for Identifying Risk
Factor at Body Mass Index Cut-off Points of 24 and 28 (kg/m²)

	BMI (kg/m²)	Risk Factor	Prevalence in Population(%)	Positive Predictive Value(%)	Negative Predictive Value(%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Men	≥ 24	HBP	23.5	39.10	83.70	52.43	74.94
		DM	4.8	6.73	96.59	58.45	59.25
		IFG	11.5	15.57	91.35	56.20	60.28
		HTC	27.4	32.52	76.21	49.31	61.34
		L HDL-C	8.3	11.27	93.86	57.26	59.17
		HTG	14.2	21.31	91.19	64.48	60.17
	≥ 28	HBP	23.5	52.62	78.37	13.39	96.30
		DM	4.8	10.20	95.68	17.00	92.48
		IFG	11.5	21.01	89.29	14.54	92.88
		HTC	27.4	35.80	73.31	10.43	92.93
		L HDL-C	8.3	13.88	92.10	12.62	92 27
		HTG	14.2	26.41	86.96	15.75	92.76
Women	≥ 24	HBP	20.6	33.71	86.61	58.11	70.33
		DM	4.7	6.61	96.78	60.67	57.94
		IFG	11.0	15.03	91.95	58.39	58.99
		HTC	31.3	36.33	72.52	49.88	60.20
		L HDL-C	5.6	7.43	95.73	56.82	57.78
		HTG	11.0	16.29	93.26	65.56	58.57
	≥ 28	HBP	20.6	46.62	81.89	20.50	93.91
		DM	4.7	9.00	95.88	22.15	89.01
		IFG	11.0	19.1	90.00	19.91	89.53
		HTC	31.3	39.36	69.78	14.55	89.79
		L HDL-C	5.6	8.81	94.79	18.16	88.78
		HTG	11.0	20.14	90.32	22.30	89.13

TABLE 4

Positive and Negative Predictive Values, Sensitivity and Specificity for Identifying of Clustering of Risk Factors at Waist Circumference Cut-off Points of 80, 85 and 90 (cm) in Men and 75, 80 and 85 (cm) in Women

	WC (cm)	Prevalence of Clustering of Risk Factors (%)	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Youden' Index
Men	≥ 80	27.8	36.94	84.03	75.54	49.95	25.49
	≥ 85	27.8	42.06	80.36	55.84	70.15	25.99
	≥ 90	27.8	47.08	76.90	34.10	85.12	19.22
Women	≥ 75	25.2	31.16	85.98	74.00	49.38	23.38
	≥ 80	25.2	36.26	83.63	56.10	69.46	25.56
	≥ 85	25.2	41.53	80.67	34.16	85.11	19.27

factors of cardiovascular diseases, and some research articles reported the use of predictive values of body mass index or waist circumference for these risk factors to assess the optimal cut-off points of body mass index or waist circumference[5-12]. These articles indicated that the optimal cut-off points varied with body mass index and waist circumference among different survey populations. Nevertheless, body mass index or waist circumference showed a continuous linear relation with these risk factors as well as the clustering of risk factors, without an obvious break point or threshold value, and a substantial overlap existed in the distribution curve of body mass index or waist circumference for populations with or without certain risk factors, therefore any cut-off point is a relative, arbitrary value, yet it is based on the scientific evidence. The aim of study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults is to offer an arousing alert and the practical boundary for initiating intervention to prevent and control the increase in risk factor of most chronic diseases as early as possible, but not cause unduly psychologic pressure to the general public, as well as to accommodate with the availability of manpower and material resources for prevention and treatment. For this purpose, the positive and negative predictive values, sensitivity and specificity for identifying each risk factor at different cut-off points of body mass indices and waist circumferences, were analyzed and the cut-off points with fairly good sensitivity, specificity, and relatively low false positive rate were found out. Population attributable risks at different cut-off points were estimated and showed that if the intervention started at these points, it would be possible to prevent the percentage of risk factors in the population. According to the above results, the panel recommended the optimal cut-off points for body mass index and waist circumference for Chinese adults.

Recommendations on Cut-off Points of Body Mass Index in Chinese Adults

The panel recommended that body mass index of 24 (kg/m²) as the cut-off points of overweight for Chinese adults, its bases were as follows: 1) At the cut-off point of 24 (kg/m²), the specificity was slightly high, close to 60%, and the false positive rate was near 40% ; 2) According to population attributable risk analysis, approximate 34%-40% of the population accounted for body mass index ≥ 24 (kg/m²). If the body mass index was controlled below this cut-off point, it was possible to prevent 38%-45% of high blood pressure, 33%-37% of diabetes mellitus, 23%-33% of low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, 50%-60% of high

TABLE 5

Positive and Negative Predictive Values, Sensitivity and Specificity for Identifying Risk Factor at Waist Circumference
Cut-off Point of 85 cm in Men and 80 (cm) in omen

	WC (cm)	Risk Factor	Prevalence in Population (%)	Positive Predictive Value(%)	Negative Predictive Value(%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Men	≥ 85	HBP	23.5	42.59	79.89	46.24	77.41
		DM	4.8	6.56	96.49	49.75	66.11
		IFG	11.5	16.30	90.83	48.48	67.21
		HTC	27.4	35.81	73.50	41.78	68.32
		L HDL-C	8.3	10.90	94.00	49.19	66.43
		HTG	14.2	19.70	91.50	57.18	66.41
Women	≥ 80	HBP	20.6	38.20	84.70	54.62	73.98
		DM	4.7	6.82	97.29	57.72	65.77
		IFG	11.0	15.90	91.98	51.87	66.80
		HTC	31.3	38.11	71.26	41.89	67.92
		L HDL-C	5.6	7.79	95.87	50.59	65.71
		HTG	11.0	15.23	94.41	61.01	46.30

triglyceride and 45%-50% clustering of risk factors in Chinese adults. If a body mass index was set at cut-off point of 23 (kg/m²), a value recommended by the Asia Obesity Workshop, although the sensitivity was quite high, but the false positive rate would be more than 50%. Besides, persons with body mass index of 23 (kg/m²) and over would account for more than 50% of the adult population which would become a heavy burden on the society. If a body mass index was set at 25 (kg/m²) as the cut-off point according to the current international criteria, the total population with this value of body mass index would account for 20%-30%, the sensitivity for identifying most of the risk factors would be less than 40%. After comparison, a body mass index of 24 (kg/m²) would be the optimal cut-off point for Chinese adults. The panel recommeded also body mass index of 28 (kg/m²) as the cut-off point of "obesity" for Chinese adults, both men and women the specificity for indentifying risk factors and clustering of risk factors would reach 90% or be closed to it, i. e. the false positive rate would be less than 10%. Persons with body mass index of 28 (kg/m²) and over accounted for 8%-12% of the total population. If this part of population were treated with appropriate drugs, it would prevent clustering of risk factors in 14.7% men and 17.0% women and thus would favor in decreasing the incidence of atherosclerotic diseases.

Recommendations on Cut-off Points of Waist Circumference for Chinese Adults

According to the assessment of sensitivity and specificity, if a waist circumference was set at 85 (cm) as the cut-off point in men, its sensitivity would be more than 40%, and false positive rate would only be 30% or so, persons with cut-off points ≥ 85 (cm) would account for 35% of the total men population. If the waist circumference in men was controlled under 85 (cm), it would prevent about 58% clustering of risk factor. If a waist circumference was set at 80 (cm) as the cut-off point in women, the sensitivity for indentifying most risk factors would reach over 50%, and the specificity would approach 70%. Persons with waist circumference ≥ 80 (cm) would account for 35% of the total

women population. The effect of controlling waist circumference in women < 80 (cm) would be similar to that of 85 (cm) in men, it may prevents 47% of clustering of risk factors. As a summary of the above discussion, the panel recommended 85 (cm) as the optimal cut-off point of waist circumference for men, and 80 (cm) for women (Table 8).

TABLE 6

Population Attributable Risks [PAR(%)] for Risk Factors by Body Mass Index Cut-off Points of 24 and 28 (kg/m²)

	Risk	Men		Women	
	Factor	OR [a]	PAR (%)	OR [a]	PAR (%)
Overweight	HBP	3.69	45.9	2.76	38.4
BMI ⩾	DM	2.40	36.8	2.14	32.8
24 kg/m²	IFG	2.12	31.8	2.02	30.4
	HTC	1.85	26.1	1.49	17.4
	LHDL-C	1.70	22.9	2.15	33.1
	HTG	4.26	58.5	3.26	49.9
	⩾ 2RFs	3.49	51.9	2.84	44.9
Obesity	HBP	3.17	11.5	2.61	12.7
BMI ⩾	DM	2.55	11.0	2.52	14.9
28 kg/m²	IFG	2.16	8.4	1.86	9.0
	HTC	1.65	5.0	1.44	4.8
	LHDL-C	1.29	2.3	2.04	10.7
	HTG	2.60	11.9	2.63	16.5
	⩾ 2RFs	3.02	14.7	2.68	17.0

[a]Age-adjusted OR value.

TABLE 7

Population Attributable Risks [PAR(%)] for Risk Factors by Waist Circumference Cut-off Points
of 85 (cm) in Men and 80 (cm) in Women

Risk Factor	Waist Circumference (cm)			
	Men ⩾ 85		Women ⩾ 80	
	OR [a]	PAR (%)	OR [a]	PAR (%)
HBP	3.44	41.3	3.30	42.8
DM	1.99	25.5	2.70	37.4
IFG	2.26	30.3	2.31	31.6
HTC	1.44	13.2	1.47	14.2
LHDL-C	2.76	38.0	1.97	25.4
HTG	4.21	54.0	3.80	50.5
⩾ 2RFs	4.67	57.7	3.39	46.6

[a]Age-adjusted OR value.

APPENDIX

The data for meta-analysis of this project came from 13 studies, many persons devoted themselves to this study, the collaborative institutions were as follows:
Institute and Personnel in charge of this project: International Life Science Institute-

TABLE 8

Classification of Overweight and Obesity in Chinese Adults

	Risk of Related Diseases[a]		
	Waist circumference (cm)		
Body mass index	Men < 85	Men ≥ 85
(kg / m²)	Women < 80	Women ≥ 80
Low Body Weight[b]	<18.5	--	--
Normal Body Weight	18.5-23.9	--	Increase
Overweight	24.0-27.9	Increase	High
Obesity	≥ 28	High	Very high

[a] Related diseases including high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, abnormal blood lipid and clustering of risk factors.

[b] Low body mass index may predict the possibility of other health problems.

Focal point in China CHEN Chun-Ming, ZHAO Xi-He.

Institution and personnel responsible for data analysis: Department of Epidemiology, Fu Wai Hospital ZHOU Bei-Fan, WU Yang-Fang, ZHAO Lian-Cheng, LI Xian, WANG Xin.

Principal Institutions and personnel for data contribution

Department of Epidemiology, Fu Wai Hospital ZHOU Bei-Fan.

Department of Epidemiology, An Zhen Hospital WU Zhao-Su.

Office of Cardiovascular Diseases Prevention and Treatment, Beijing Municipality YAO Chong-Hua.

Neurosurgery Institute of Beijing Municipality WANG Wen-Zhi.

Department of Food Hygiene, Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine ZHAO Wen-Hua.

Department of Public Nutrition, Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine ZHAI Feng-Ying.

Office of Chronic Diseases Prevention and Treatment, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine WANG Ke-An.

Department of Endocrinology, China-Japanese Friendship Hospital LI Guang-Wei.

First People's Hospital of Chengdu City ZHANG Ting-Jie.

Central Institute of Health, Taibei City PAN Wen-Han.

Healh and Disease Prevention Centre of Tianjin Municipality SONG Gui-De.

Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University HE Bing-Xian.

Department of Endocrinology, Shanghai the 6th Hospital JIA Wei-Ping.

Collaborative institution for data contribution and persons in charge

Guangdong Cardiovascular Diseases Institute LI Yi-He.

Neuosurgery Institute of Beijing Municipality CHEN Jie.

Heart, Lung and Blood Vessel Medical Cenre of Beijing WU Zhao-Su.

Institute of neurology, Huashan Hospital, Shanghai Municipality HONG Zhen.

Peoloe's Hospital of Yu-xian County, Shanxi Province YANG Rui-Xiang.

Hangzhong Cardiovascular Institute, Shaanxi Province XU Xiang-Lin.

Affiliated Hospital, Medical University of Nanjing DU Fu-chang.

Qian-an Workers' Hospital, Capital Iron and Steel Co. WANG Yi-Xin.

Workers' Hospital, Capital Iron and Steel Co. WANG Shu-Yu.

Shijingshan Chronic Diseases Prevention and Treatment Institute, Beijing Municipality

TIAN Xiu-Zhen.

Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University XIA Shun-Ying.

Public Health Beureau of Putuo District, Zhoushan City, Zhejiang Province RUAN LIAN-Sheng.

Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University ZHU Shu-Xiong.

First Affiliated Hospital, Huaxi Medical University YUAN Guang-Gu.

Sanitation and Anti-epidemic Station of Deyang City, Sichuan Province YIN Hong.

First Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University YANG Yu-Chun.

Neurosurgery Institute of Beijing Municipality CHENG Xue-Ming.

Tianjin Medical University YANG Lu-Chun.

Hospital of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region ZHOU Jing-Chun.

Shenyang Red Cross Hospital GUO Bao-xia.

Office of Cardiovascular Diseases Prevention and Treatment, Anshan Iron and Steel Co. WANG A-Ling.

Dalian Medical University LI Dong-Guang.

China Medical University ZENG Ding-Yin.

Harbin Medical University HE Hou-Qi.

Daqing First Hospital HU Ying-Hua.

Cardiovascular Diseases Institute of Shanghai PAN Xin-Wei.

Second Army Medical University WANG Gui-Qing.

Office of Cardiovascular Diseases Prevention and Treatment, Zhejiang Province WANG Bing-Huan

College of Medicine, Henan University SU Fang-Zhong.

Guangzhou Medical College LU Xue-Fen.

Huaxi Medical University LUO Zu-Ming

Ningxia Medical College DAI Xiu-Ying

Institute of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, Hunan Medical University YANG Qi-Dong

Sanitaion and Anti-epidemic Station of Shunyi, Beijing LI Yin-Dong.

Sanitation and Antiepidemic Station of Nanyang City, Henan Province YIN Chang-Chun.

Women and Children Health Institute of Anqing City, Anhui Province WANG An-Yun.

Peking Union Medical Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences XIANG Hong-Ding.

1st Affiliated Hospital, Peking University FENG Jin-Guang.

REFERENCES

1. Collaborative Study Group on Trends of Cardiovascular Diseases in China and Preventive Strategy (2001). Current status of major cardiovascular risk factor in Chinese populations and their trends in the past two decades. *Chinese Journal of Cardiovascular Diseases.* **29** (2), 74-79 (in Chinese).

2. ZHANG Hong-Ye, YANG Jun. ZHOU Bei-Fan, WU Yang-Feng, LI Ying, and TAO Shou-Chi (1996). Perspective investigation of risk factors for stroke among ten populations of China. *Chronic Diseases Prevention and Control of China.* **4** (4), 150-152, 172. (in Chinese)

3. Zhou, B. F., Wu, Y. F., Li, Y. H., Davis, E. C., and Stamler, J. (2001). Risk factors and 13-year incidence of coronary heart disease in a Chinese population cohort. Abstracts of the 5th International Conference on Preventive Cardiology. *Japanese J Cardiovascular Disease Prevention* **36** (Supplement), 110.

4. Report of a WHO Consultaion (2000). Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic WHO Technical Report Series *894 Geneva 2000.*

5. Willet, W. C., Dietz, W. H., and Colditz, G. A. (1999). Guidelines for healthy weight. *New England J. Med.* **341**(6), 427-433.

6. Ashton, W. D., Nanchahal, K., and Wood, D. A. (2001). Body mass index and metabolic risk factors for coronary heart disease in women. *Eur Heart J.* **22,** 46-55.

7. Han, T. S., van Leer, E. M., Seidal, J. C., and Lean, M. E. (1995). Waist circumference action levels in the identification of cardiovascular risk factors: prevalence study in a random sample. *BMJ.* **311,** 1401-1405.

8. Okosun, I. S., Prewitt, T. E., and Cooper. R. S. (1999). Abdominal obesity in the United States: prevalence and attributable risk of hypertension. *J. Human Hyperten.* **13,** 425-430.

9. Okosun, I. S., Cooper, R. S., Prewitt, T. E., Prewitt, T. E., and Rotimi, C. N. (1999). The relation of central adiposity to *components of the insulin resistence syndrome in a biracial US* population samples. *Ethn. Dis.* **9,** 218-29.

10. Okosun, I. S., Rotimi, C. N., Forrester, T. E., Fraser, H., Osotimehin, B., Muna, W. F., and Cooper, R. S. (2000). Predictive value of abdominal obesity cut-off points for hypertension in blacks from West African and Caribbean island nations. *International J. Obesity* **24,** 180- 186.

11. Molarius, A., Seidell, J. C., Sans, S., Tuomilehto, J., and Kuulasmaa, K. (1999). Varying sensitivity of waist action levels to identify subjects with overweight or obesity in 19 populations of the WHO MONICA Project. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **52** (12), 1213-1224.

12. Okosun, I. S., Liao, Y. L., Rotimi, C. N., Choi, S., and Cooper, R. S. (2000). Predictive values of waist circumference for dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes and hypertension in overweight white, black, and Hispanic American adults. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 401-408.