# **Original Article**

# Chemotherapy-based Control of Ascariasis and Hookworm in Highly Endemic Areas of China: Field Observations and a Modeling Analysis<sup>\*</sup>

WANG Jun<sup>1,2</sup>, LI Hua Zhong<sup>3</sup>, CHEN Ying Dan<sup>1</sup>, LIU Chang Hua<sup>4</sup>, and TANG Lin Hua<sup>1,#</sup>

1. National Institute of Parasitic Disease, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai 200025, China; 2. Center for Drug Evaluation, SFDA, Beijing 100038, China; 3. Office for Disease Control and Emergency Response, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China; 4. Sichuan Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China

# Abstract

**Objective** Our objective was to systematically evaluate chemotherapy-based control of ascariasis and hookworm infection and make predictions of the effectiveness of repeated mass treatment at different levels of coverage in highly endemic areas of China.

**Methods** Field surveys were carried out to acquire the ascariasis and hookworm prevalence and intensity (mean worm burden) at baseline, one month and one year later. We calculated model parameters based on the survey data, then incorporated them into a quantitative framework to predict the prevalence and intensity one year later. Sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the influence of the chemotherapy measures on prevalence and intensity, and model simulations were performed to evaluate the feasibility of achieving the proposed transmission control criteria under different chemotherapy measures.

**Results** The predicted prevalence and intensity one year from baseline were within the 95% confidence interval of actual values. As treatment frequency or coverage increased, the prevalence and intensity decreased. Model simulations show that many rounds of treatment are needed to maintain the prevalence at a low level in highly endemic areas of China.

**Conclusion** We should select different combinations of treatment frequency, coverage and drug efficacy according to available resources and practical attainable conditions. Mathematical modeling could be used to help optimize the chemotherapeutic scheme aiming at specific parasitic species and areas, and to direct the establishment of soil-transmitted helminthiasis control criteria in China.

Key words: Ascariasis; Hookworm; Chemotherapy; Field survey; Mathematical modeling

Biomed Environ Sci, 2012; 25(3):272-281	doi: 10.3967/0895-3988.2	012.03.004	ISSN:0895-3988
www.besjournal.com(full text)	CN: 11-2816/Q	Copyright ©20	12 by China CDC

# INTRODUCTION

scaris lumbricoides (roundworm), Ancylostoma duodenale and Necator americanus (hookworm), the main soil-transmitted parasitic nematodes, are widely distributed throughout the tropics and subtropics of the world, causing human physical and intellectual growth retardation<sup>[1]</sup>. China is one of the countries with the greatest burden of infections from these nematodes<sup>[2-3]</sup>. China's 2003 national survey of soil-transmitted helminth infections indicated that the prevalence of ascariasis and hookworm is 12.72% and 6.12%, respectively, and although it decreased

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>This study was supported by the Special Fund for National Health Scientific Research in the Public Interest by the Ministry of Health of China (No.200802023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup>Correspondence should be addressed to TANG Lin Hua. Tel: 86-21-64377008. Fax: 86-21-64332670. E-mail: ipdtlh@sh163.net

Biographical note of the first author: WANG Jun, male, born in 1979, assistant researcher, majoring in biostatistics in medicine.

compared with the 1990 estimates, a high prevalence remains in some areas<sup>[4-5]</sup>. It is estimated that 125 million people are infected with soil-transmitted nematodes in China<sup>[2]</sup>.

Chemotherapy is advocated as an affordable and effective control measure for reducing intestinal nematode infections<sup>[6-8]</sup>. Different chemotherapy measures have been used to control ascariasis and hookworm disease in China<sup>[9-10]</sup>, although a theoretical evaluation of these programs is absent. How deworming influences the reinfection process, and how different deworming strategies affect the infection level (prevalence and intensity) are questions that need to be answered<sup>[11-13]</sup>. China is now establishing criteria for the control and elimination of soil-transmitted helminthiasis, which also calls for evaluating chemotherapeutic schemes in areas with different infection rates<sup>[14-15]</sup>.

Because conducting a controlled field trial to evaluate a disease control program is infeasible, mathematical modeling of disease transmission is an important tool for predicting and evaluating the public health impact of field interventions on relevant indicators over time<sup>[16-17]</sup>. Few mathematical modeling studies on ascariasis and hookworm infection and reinfection in China are reported. We implemented a field cohort study in 2008-2009 and conducted a modeling analysis, in an attempt to predict and evaluate the soil-transmitted helminthiasis chemotherapy control effect, and to help establish control and elimination criteria in China.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Field Survey**

The field surveys were carried out in two highly endemic sites: Cuiping District of Yibin City in Sichuan Province (hereinafter abbreviated as "Cuiping of Sichuan") and Jinxian County in Jiangxi Province (hereinafter abbreviated as "Jinxian of Jiangxi"). The soil-transmitted helminth infection rate of the two sites is more than 20%, according to the 2003 national survey. The two sites were selected on the criteria that (1) no intervention activities were conducted in the previous year; and (2) the population proportion of children under 14 years was not more than one-third. The subjects were the resident population between 5 and 70 years old.

The field survey was an interventional cohort study lasting for one year. Subjects were investigated and followed up at 0, 1, and 12 months. All subjects were given a single dose of 10 mg/kg body weight of Pyrantel Pamoate for two days at baseline and at the 12 month follow-up. A detailed survey procedure flowchart is displayed in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Field survey procedure flowchart.

We obtained ascariasis and hookworm infection data from the two sites as: (1) infection rate and mean worm burden at baseline, (2) infection rate after one month, and (3) infection rate and mean worm burden after one year.

#### **Modeling Analysis**

**The Models** We used the quantitative framework developed by Medley to model ascariasis and hookworm reinfection after rounds of chemotherapy intervention. The framework is a quantitative study of the dynamic relationship between parasite burden, development of disease and chemotherapy application<sup>[18-19]</sup>. The models are introduced briefly here.

The host population is divided into a discrete number of "types", each with a rate of establishment relative to the whole population,  $h_{j}$ , and proportional representation in the population,  $\omega_{i}$ .

Modeling the effect of treatment on population dynamics

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \mu R_0 f(W; k, \gamma) - \mu W \tag{1}$$

*W* represents the total mean parasite burden, sum of the mean burden of surviving worms and worms established since treatment.  $R_0$  is the basic reproductive rate and  $\mu$  is the *per capita* death rate of the parasites. The function  $f(W; k, \gamma)$  represents the density-dependent effects.

*Modeling the effect of treatment on the distribution of parasites* The probability distribution of all parasites at time *t* after treatment is:

$$p_{nj}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} q_{rj}(t) s_{n-r,j}(t)$$
(2)

This is a convolution of the surviving parasite distribution,  $s_{nj}(t)$ , and the reinfection parasite distribution  $q_{nj}(t)$ . The  $q_{nj}(t)$  follows a Poisson distribution, while  $s_{nj}(t)$  is the sum of terms from a series of binomial distributions:

$$s_{nj}(t) = \sum_{r=n}^{n_{max}} s_{rj}(0) B(n; r, e^{-\mu t})$$
(3)

 $s_{rj}(0)$  describes the distribution of surviving parasites immediately after treatment, given as:

$$s_{rj}(0) = (1 - c)p_{nj} + c \sum_{r=n}^{n_{max}} B(n; k, \alpha)p_{rj}$$
(4)

*C* is the proportion of hosts treated and  $\alpha$  is drug efficacy  $B(n; r, \alpha)$  represents the probabilities of

having *n* parasites when *r* parasites are treated.  $p_{ni}$  is

the existing (pre-treatment) parasite distribution in host type *j*.

*Model Parameter Estimation* The actual cohort data were used to calculate the following parameters, the estimation methods of which were not described by Medley.

**Density dependence parameter**  $\gamma$  The dependence of egg production on worm burden is described empirically by an exponential decay function<sup>[20-23]</sup>,

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_0 e^{-\gamma n}, Z = e^{-\gamma}$$
(5)

*n* is the number of the worms harbored by a host,  $\lambda_0$  is the egg production by coupled worms, and the egg production per female worm when a host harbored *n* mature worms.

The density-dependent constraint on worm fecundity Z should be less than 1, and nearer to 1 when the density-dependence effect is higher. In practice it could be calculated as more than 1, due to drug efficacy or some other reason, therefore we modified equation (5) as follows:

$$\lambda_{\overline{n}} = \lambda_0 e^{-\gamma n}, Z = e^{-\gamma}$$
(6)

*n* represents the mean worm burden of persons with female worms;  $\lambda_{\overline{n}}$  is the average number of eggs produced by each female worm in persons with female worms; and  $\lambda_0$  is the eggs produced by one couple parasite, which is based on the persons who harbored only one male and one female parasite (excluding those with two male worms or two female worms).

**Negative binomial distribution parameter k** Assuming that the distribution of parasites in the whole community follows a negative binomial distribution at the equilibrium state, the over-dispersion parameter k can be derived from the following equation<sup>[24]</sup>:

$$p = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{W}{k}\right)^{-k}$$
(7)

*W* is the mean worm burden of all of the subjects and *p* is the infection rate at baseline.

**Basic** reproductive rate  $R_0$  The density-dependence effect is determined by the equation below when the distribution of parasites follows a negative binomial distribution<sup>[18]</sup>.

$$f(W; k, \gamma) = W[1 + \frac{W}{k}(1 - e^{-r})]^{-(k+1)}$$
(8)

When the endemic infection is stable, denoting

dW/dt = 0,  $R_0$  can be derived from the following equation:

$$W^{*} = \frac{(R_{0}^{\frac{1}{K+1}} - 1)K}{1 - Z}$$
(9)

 $W^*$  represents the equilibrium worm burden, namely the baseline mean worm burden.

Estimation of the actual infection rate of the total population after one year When treatment coverage is low, we suppose that the population who did not receive treatment received negligible benefits from those who received treatment, and that they have the same infection rate after one year as they did at baseline. We estimated the actual infection rate of the total population after one year as: Infection rate of total population at 12th month = treatment coverage×infection rate of survey population at 12th month + (1 - treatment coverage) × baseline infection rate of survey population.

*Model Validation* Actual observed data at one-year of follow-up was used for model validation.

**Sensitivity Analysis** We changed the values of the model parameters related to the chemotherapy measure to examine their influences on prevalence and intensity. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed, including the parameters of treatment frequency, treatment coverage and drug efficacy.

*Model Simulations* Following the current commonly-adopted chemotherapy frequency of once per year and coverage of 60% or 80% in China, model simulations were performed to evaluate the feasibility of establishing the proposed transmission control criteria, which were set as 3% for ascariasis prevalence and 1% for hookworm prevalence.

# Software

SAS 9.2(SAS Institute Inc.,USA) was used for statistical analysis, and Matlab 7.11.0(The Mathworks

Hookworm

Inc., USA) was used for modeling and simulations.

#### **Ethics Statement**

The field study protocol was approved by the National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Shanghai, China). The participants were informed that the procedure did not pose any potential risk and their identities and personal particulars would be kept strictly confidential. During the field survey, parents and their children were informed that their participation was voluntary and that they could withdraw from the study at any time without consequence. Consent of those who agreed to participate was taken either in written form (signed) or verbally followed by their thumb prints (for those who were illiterate), and from parents or guardians (on behalf of their children).

#### RESULTS

#### **Field Survey**

Both the prevalence and intensity of ascariasis and hookworm infection at baseline in Cuiping of Sichuan were higher than those in Jinxian of Jiangxi.

The ascariasis infection rates of Cuiping of Sichuan and Jinxian of Jiangxi changed from 28.79% and 20.35%, respectively, at baseline to 30.10% and 7.51% after one year, with the mean worm burden changing from 1.32 and 0.65 to 1.04 and 0.56, respectively.

The hookworm infection rates of Cuiping of Sichuan and Jinxian of Jiangxi changed from 24.38% and 18.69%, respectively, at baseline to 18.02% and 4.19% after one year, with mean worm burden changing from 5.81 and 1.82 to 4.48 and 1.41, respectively (Table 1).

4.19

1.41

	Infortion.	Baseline		1st Month		12th Month			
Survey Site	Infection	n	IR (%)	MWB	n	IR (%)	n	IR (%)	MWB
	Ascariasis	774	28.79	1.32	579	4.46	FOF	30.10	1.04
Cuiping of Sichuan	Hookworm	771	24.38	5.81	579	7.43	505	18.02	4.48
Jinxian of Jiangxi	Ascariasis	1022	20.35	0.65	941	0.97	812	7.51	0.56

**Table 1**. Infection Rate and Mean Worm Burden before and after Chemotherapy at the Two Survey Sites

4.67

*Note. n*=persons examined, IR=infection rate, MWB=mean worm burden.

18.69

1.82

# Model Parameter Estimation

The basic reproductive rate ( $R_0$ ) of ascariasis and hookworm in Cuiping of Sichuan was 2.95 and 2.94, respectively, which was higher than that in Jinxian of Jiangxi (2.31 and 2.46). The over-dispersion parameters (k) of the two sites were similar for the two infections. The  $\gamma$  value of ascariasis was higher than that of hookworm, i.e., the severity of density-dependent constraint on hookworm fecundity was higher than that of ascariasis in the two sites (Table 2).

# Model Validation

Table 3 shows that the predicted theoretical results after one year, including infection rate and mean worm burden, are within the 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the actual survey values.

Devenueteur	Cui	ping of Sichuan	Jinxian	Jinxian of Jiangxi		
Parameters —	Ascariasis	Hookworm	Ascariasis	Hookworm		
Life expectancy of mature worms (years) <sup>[2,25]</sup>	1	3	1	3		
Maximum worm burden observed in a person in the survey ( $n_{\max}$ )	70	600	50	210		
Treatment coverage (c)	0.3200	0.3200	0.2800	0.2800		
Drug efficacy ( $\alpha$ ) <sup>*</sup>	0.8500	0.7000	0.9500	0.7500		
Basic reproductive rate ( $R_o$ )	2.9500	2.9400	2.3100	2.4600		
Over-dispersion parameter (k)	0.1480	0.0612	0.1245	0.0601		
γ value	0.1927	0.0188	0.2376	0.0453		
Severity of density-dependent constraint on worm fecundity <i>Z</i>	0.8247	0.9814	0.7885	0.9557		

Table 2 Model Parameter Values

*Note.* <sup>\*</sup>Drug efficacy Is calculated is (baseline infection rate - 1<sup>st</sup> month infection rate after chemotherapy) / baseline infection rate.

# Table 3. Comparison of Actual and Theoretical Values of Infection Rate and Mean Worm Burden after One Year

		100111111					
Survey Sitesand Species —			IR (%)	MWB			
		AV	95%CI of AV	TV	AV	95%CI oAV	TV
Cuiping of Sichuan	A. lumbricoides	29.21	24.72-33.70	25.03	1.26	0.87-1.65	1.04
	Hookworm	22.34	18.47-26.21	20.80	4.05	2.79-5.31	4.48
Jinxian of Jiangxi	A. lumbricoides	16.75	14.41-19.09	17.45	0.53	0.42-0.64	0.56
	Hookworm	14.63	12.64-16.62	14.97	1.35	0.88-1.82	1.41

*Note.* IR=Infection Rate, MWB=Mean Worm Burden, AV=Actual Value, CI=Confidence Interval, TV=Theoretical Value.

# Sensitivity Analysis

**Univariate Analysis** Take ascariasis infection in Cuiping of Sichuan as an example of the univariate analysis results. Figure 2(a) shows that the extent of deceased prevalence and intensity with biannual treatment is larger than that of annual treatment. Figure 2(b) shows that the lower treatment coverage, the higher reinfection level with faster ascending velocity during the first year, followed by stable parallel trends. Figure 2(c) shows that the pattern of prevalence

changes differs from that of intensity under different drug efficacy scenarios. High drug efficacy leads to more reductions in prevalence and intensity than low drug efficacy. For prevalence, during the first year, high drug efficacy has the fastest ascending velocity, followed by trends with almost the same velocity with low drug efficacy. For intensity, the four curves remain almost parallel.

In conclusion, treatment frequency and coverage influence the change in prevalence and intensity more than drug efficacy.



Figure 2. The influence of different measures (Cuiping of Sichuan as example).

**Multivariate Analysis** Because drug efficacy had less influence on prevalence and intensity, only treatment coverage and frequency are included in the multivariate analysis of the two infections and two survey sites (Figures 3 and 4).

Despite lasting one more year of treatment (36 months compared with 24 months), the descending extent of prevalence and intensity under annual treatment is still less than that under biannual treatment.

The infection level gap between treatment coverages of 60% and 80% is bigger than that between 80% and 100%. If treatment coverage increases from 60% to 80%, the infection level descends dramatically and remains low over the following three years.

Chemotherapy has a greater effect on prevalence than on intensity. The ascending velocity of intensity after each round of treatment is lower than that of prevalence, which is especially obvious for hookworm reinfection.

The reinfection velocity of ascariasis is faster than that of hookworm. The rounds of chemotherapy needed to reduce the prevalence and intensity to the same level in different sites are different.

# **Model Simulations**

Take hookworm infection rate in Jinxian of Jiangxi as an example. Figure 5(a) shows that it would take nine rounds of annual treatment to reach a 1% infection rate



**Figure 3.** Comparison of the influences of different treatment coverages and frequencies on ascariasis infection in Cuiping of Sichuan. *Note*. (a) and (b) show the changes in ascariasis infection rate and mean worm burden with time under three levels of treatment coverage and annual treatment three times in two years, while (c) and (d) show biannual treatment five times in two years.



**Figure 4.** Comparison of the influences of different treatment coverages and frequencies to hookworm infection in Jinxian of Jiangxi. *Note.* (a) and (b) show the changes in hookworm infection rate and mean worm burden with time under three levels of treatment coverage and annual treatment four times in three years, while (c) and (d) show biannual treatment five times in two years.

under 60% treatment coverage, while only six rounds under 80% treatment coverage would be required.

Take ascariasis infection rate in Cuiping of Sichuan as an example. Figure 5(b) shows that it would take 15



rounds of annual treatment to reach a 3% infection rate under 60% treatment coverage, while only six rounds under 80% treatment coverage would be required.



Figure 5. Model simulations under different chemotherapy scenarios.

#### DISCUSSION

This study systematically evaluated the chemotherapybased control effects on hookworm and ascariasis prevalence and intensity based on field observations and modeling. Our analyses help to optimize the chemotherapeutic scheme aiming at reducing ascariasis and hookworm infections in highly endemic areas, and direct the establishment of soil-transmitted helminthiasis control and elimination criteria.

The model parameter estimation was based on actual field data, including not only the "one stool three examinations" of 4833 persons in the two sites at baseline and after one year using the Kato-Katz method, but also worm extraction and differentiation after stool collection from each subject over three continuous days, which guaranteed a precise and robust estimation of model parameters. An indicator of the average number of parasites harbored in subjects (mean worm burden) was acquired in this study, which is seldom achieved in previous published studies<sup>[26]</sup>. Egg per gram (EPG) is no longer used for estimating the intensity of infection.

The density-dependent constraint on worm fecundity Z ( $Z = e^{-\gamma}$ ) related to parasite burden is difficult to estimate<sup>[27-34]</sup>. The higher the parasite burden, the weaker the fecundity of adult worms, which means the higher the density-dependence effect. Equation (6) ( $\lambda_{\overline{n}} = \lambda_0 e^{-\gamma \overline{n}}$ ) is based on a perfect situation of a field survey that acquires the number of all adult worms harbored in hosts and the average number of eggs laid by the adult worms. Because it is common that a stool examination shows positive while no female worms are extracted, or that EPG is high while few female worms are extracted, due to drug efficacy or some other reason, the density-dependent constraint on worm fecundity Z is likely to be more than 1, which is infeasible in actual model application. This paper proposes a method to modify the equation, which makes Z less than 1 and meet the relationship between it and other parameters like worm burden. Its rationality was validated by the accordance between model prediction and actual results.

The ascariasis infection rate in the survey participants after one year was higher than that at baseline in Cuiping of Sichuan, possibly due to a high proportion of participants who were lost to follow-up (because of non-compliance in negative infected subjects or migrant workers). Meanwhile, the basic reproductive rate ( $R_0$ ) was near three in this site, which means that the reinfection rate would possibly be very high. Although the model predicted that the ascariasis infection rate after one year was lower than that at baseline, the predictions were consistent with the trends of ascariasis in Jinxian of Jiangxi and of hookworm in both sites.

The simulations indicated that it would take too long to reduce the prevalence to the criteria by taking annual chemotherapy in highly endemic areas. This suggests that (1) a combination of higher treatment coverage and frequency would have better effects, taking available resources and practical conditions into consideration; and (2) to control and eliminate soil-transmitted helminthiasis, chemotherapy control alone is not enough; a comprehensive control strategy is needed, including health education and environmental improvement.

The model prediction was based on the assumption that community environmental conditions would not change in the period of prediction. Once residents' hygienic behavior habits or environmental sanitation change, the model parameters will also change<sup>[35]</sup>. In this study no other measures were taken at the two sites during the one year follow-up period. Some provinces and areas in China are now dedicated to controlling soil-transmitted helminth infection through comprehensive control strategies like health education, chemotherapy, water and toilet renovation and environmental improvement<sup>[36]</sup>. What needs to be emphasized is that the effect of control measures besides chemotherapy should be taken into consideration (if they exist) in the model simulation for establishing control criteria. However, determining how to evaluate comprehensive effects requires further study.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the staff in the Sichuan Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Cuiping CDC, Jiangxi CDC and Jinxian CDC for their hard field work. We are grateful to the local authorities for their support during the study, and the participants who provided multiple stool samples. We also gratefully acknowledge the efforts of Professor Xu Long Qi for his great instructions on the field survey and data analysis.

#### REFERENCES

- Brooker S, Bethony J, Hotez PJ.Human hookworm infection in the 21st century. Adv Parasitol, 2004; 58, 197-288.
- Bethony J, Brooker S, Albonico M, et al. Soil-transmitted helminth infections: ascariasis, trichuriasis, and hookworm. Lancet, 2006; 367(9521), 1521-32.
- Feasey N, Wansbrough-Jones M, Mabey DC, et al. Nelgected tropical diseases.Br Med Bull, 2010; 93, 179-200.
- Chen YD, Tang LH, Xu LQ. Current status of soil-transmitted nematode infection in China. Biomed Environ Sci, 2008; 21(2), 173-9.
- 5. Technical Steering Panel for National Survey of Current Status of Major Human Parasitic Diseases ,Coordinating Office of the National Survey on the Important Human Parasitic Disease in Human Population.Report on the National Survey of Current Status of Major Human Parasitic Diseases in China.Beijing, People's Medical Publishing House, 2008. (In Chinese)
- Savioli L, Bundy D, Tomkins A. Intestinal parasitic infections: a soluble public health problem. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, 1992; 86(4), 353-4.

- Guyatt HL, Chan MS, Medley GF, et al. Control of A. lumbricoides infection by chemotherapy: which is the most cost-effective option? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, 1995; 89(1), 16-20.
- Hotez PJ, Bethony J, Bottazzi ME, et al. New technologies for the control of human hookworm infection. Trends Parasitol, 2006; 22(7), 327-31.
- Hotez PJ, Feng Z, Xu LQ, et al. Emerging and reemerging helminthiases and the public health of China. Emerg Infect Dis, 1997; 3(3), 303-10.
- 10.Zheng Q,Chen Y,Zhang HB, et al.The control of hookworm infection in China. Parasit Vectors, 2009; 2(1), 44.
- 11.Brooker S, Whawell S, Kabatereine NB, et al. Evaluating the epidemiological impact of national control programmes for helminths. Trends Parasitol, 2004; 20(11), 537-45.
- 12.Gyorkos TW. Monitoring and evaluation of large scale helminth control programmes. Acta Trop, 2003; 86(2-3), 275-82.
- 13.de Silva NR. Impact of mass chemotherapy on the morbidity due to soil-transmitted nematodes. Acta Trop, 2003; 86(2-3), 197-214.
- 14.Bureau for Disease Control and Prevention, Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Assessing report of the comprehensive demonstrating zones for controlling and treating parasitic diseases between 2006 and 2009. Beijing, People's Medical Publishing House, 2010. (In Chinese)
- 15.Kirkwood BR, Cousens SN, Victora CG, et al. Issues in the design and interpretation of studies to evaluate the impact of community-based interventions. Trop Med Int Health, 1997; 2(11), 1022-9.
- 16.Williams GM, Sleigh AC, Li Y, et al. Mathematical modelling of schistosomiasis japonica: comparison of control strategies in the People's Republic of China. Acta Trop, 2002; 82(2), 253-62.
- 17.Grassly NC,Fraser C. Mathematical models of infectious disease transmission. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2008; 6(6), 477-87.
- Medley GF, Guyatt HL, Bundy DA. A quantitative framework for evaluating the effect of community treatment on the morbidity due to ascariasis. Parasitology, 1993; 106 (Pt 2), 211-21.
- 19.Chan MS, Bradley M, Bundy DAP. Transmission patterns and the epidemiology of hookworm infection.Int. J. Epidemiol, 1997; 26(6), 1392-400.
- Anderson RM, May RM. Infections diseases of humans: dynamics and control. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1991.
- 21.Anderson RM, May RM. Helminth infections of humans: mathematical models, population dynamics, and control. Adv Parasitol, 1985; 24, 1-101.
- 22.Hall A,Holland C. Geographical variation in A. lumbricoides lumbricoides fecundity and its implications for helminth control.Parasitol today, 2000; 16(12), 540-4.
- 23.Churcher TS, Filipe JA, Basáñez MG. Density dependence and the control of helminth parasites. J Anim Ecol, 2006; 75(6),

#### 1313-20.

- 24.Guyatt HL, Bundy DA. Estimating prevalence of community morbidity due to intestinal helminths:prevalence of infection as an indicator of the prevalence of disease. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, 1991; 85(6), 778-82.
- 25.Chan MS, Guyatt HL, Bundy DA, et al. The development and validation of an age-structured model for the evaluation of disease control strategies for intestinal helminth. Parasitology, 1994; 109(Pt 3), 389-96.
- 26.Yang WP, Yang LJ, Shen YP, et al. Efficacy of different chemotherapeutic schemes for hookworm-infected villagers in China. Parasitology, 2008; 135(14), 1685-90.
- 27.Hadeler KP, Dietz K. Nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations for the dynamics of parasite populations. Comput Math Appl, 1983; 9(3), 415-30.
- 28.Michael E, Bundy DA. Density dependence in establishment, growth and worm fecundity in intestinal helminthiasis: the population biology of Trichuris muris (Nematoda) infection in CBA/Ca mice. Parasitology, 1989; 98(Pt 3), 451-8.
- 29.Quinnell RJ,Medley GF, Keymer AE.The regulation of gastrointestinal helminth populations. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 1990; 330(1257), 191-201.

- Adler FR,Kretzschmar M. Aggregation and stability in parasite-host models. Parasitology, 1992; 104(Pt 2), 199-205.
- 31.Basáñez MG, Remme JH, Alley ES, et al. Density-dependent processes in the transmission of human onchocerciasis: relationship between the numbers of microfilariae ingested and successful larval development in the simuliid vector.Parasitology, 1995; 10(Pt 4), 409-27.
- 32.Dietz K. Density-dependence in parasite transmission dynamics. Parasitol Today, 1988; 4(4), 91-7.
- 33.Churcher TS, Ferguson NM, Basáñez MG.Density dependence and overdispersion in the transmission of helminth parasites. Parasitology, 2005; 131(Pt 1), 121-32.
- 34.Walker M, Hall A, Anderson RM, et al. Density-dependent effects on the weight of female A. lumbricoides lumbricoides infections of humans and its impact on patterns of egg production. Parasit Vectors, 2009; 2(1), 11.
- 35.Hong ST, Chai JY, Choi MH, et al. A successful experience of soil-transmitted helminth control in the Republic of Korea. Korean J Parasitol, 2006; 44(3), 177-85.
- 36.Brooker S, Clements AC, Bundy DA. Global epidemiology, ecology and control of soil-transmitted helminth infections. Adv Parasitol, 2006; 62, 221-61.