Original Article

Genetic Diversity, Antibiotic Resistance, and Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* Species from Food Products in Shanghai, China*



QU Feng Tian^{1,2,&}, WANG Wen Qing^{3,&}, LIU Qian^{4,&}, ZHOU Hai Jian², HU Jin Rui², DU Xiao Li², WANG Yue², XUE Jia Qi^{2,5}, CUI Zhi Gang^{2,#}, XIE Gui Lin^{1,#}, and MENG Shuang^{2,#}

1. College of Life Science, Northeast Agricultural University, Ha'erbin 150000, Heilongjiang, China; 2. State Key Laboratory of Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China; 3. Shanghai Pudong New Area Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai 200136, China; 4. Laboratory Medicine, Beijing Hospital, National Center of Gerontology; Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100730, China; 5. Clinic Medical College, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan 063000, Hebei, China

Abstract

Objective Aeromonas has recently been recognized as an emerging human pathogen. Aeromonasassociated diarrhea is a phenomenon occurring worldwide. This study was designed to determine the prevalence, genetic diversity, antibiotic resistance, and pathogenicity of Aeromonas strains isolated from food products in Shanghai.

Methods Aeromonas isolates (n = 79) collected from food samples were analyzed using concatenated *gyrB-cpn60* sequencing. The antibiotic resistance of these isolates was determined using antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Pathogenicity was assessed using β -hemolytic, extracellular protease, virulence gene detection, *C. elegans* liquid toxicity (LT), and cytotoxicity assays.

Results Eight different species were identified among the 79 isolates. The most prevalent *Aeromonas* species were *A. veronii* [62 (78.5%)], *A. caviae* [6 (7.6%)], *A. dhakensis* [3 (3.8%)], and *A. salmonicida* [3 (3.8%)]. The *Aeromonas* isolates were divided into 73 sequence types (STs), of which 65 were novel. The isolates were hemolytic (45.6%) and protease-positive (81.0%). The most prevalent virulence genes were *act* (73.4%), *fla* (69.6%), *aexT* (36.7%), and *ascV* (30.4%). The results of *C. elegans* LT and cytotoxicity assays revealed that *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila* were more virulent than *A. veronii*, *A. caviae*, and *A. bivalvium*. Antibiotic resistance genes [*tetE*, *blaTEM*, *tetA*, *qnrS*, *aac*(*6*)-*lb*, mcr-1, and *mcr-3*] were detected in the isolates. The multidrug-resistance rate of the *Aeromonas* isolates was 11.4%, and 93.7% of the *Aeromonas* isolates were resistant to cefazolin.

Conclusion The taxonomy, antibiotic resistance, and pathogenicity of different *Aeromonas* species varied. The *Aeromonas* isolates *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila* were highly pathogenic, indicating that food-derived *Aeromonas* isolates are potential risks for public health and food safety. The monitoring of food quality and safety will result in better prevention and treatment strategies to control diarrhea illnesses in China.

Key words: *Aeromonas*; Genetic diversity; Antibiotic resistance; Virulence gene; Cytotoxicity assay; Multidrug-resistance

Biomed Environ Sci, 2022; 35(9): 842-853	doi: 10.3967/bes2022.108	ISSN: 0895-3988
www.besjournal.com (full text)	CN: 11-2816/Q	Copyright ©2022 by China CDC

^{*}This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China [grant number 2018YFC1603804].

[&]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[#]Correspondence should be addressed to CUI Zhi Gang, E-mail: cuizhigang@icdc.cn; XIE Gui Lin, E-mail: desoria@qq.com; MENG Shuang, E-mail: mengshuang@icdc.cn

Biographical note of the first author: QU Feng Tian, female, born in 1995, Master Candidate, majoring in zoology and microbiology.

INTRODUCTION

are Gram-negative eromonas spp. bacteria belonging to the Aeromonadaceae family and are widely distributed in the aquatic environment. Aeromonas has been isolated from dairy products (4%), vegetables (26%-41%), meat (5%-10%), poultry (3%-70%), and seafood (31%-72%)^[1]. Aeromonas spp. are opportunistic pathogens that can directly infect or co-infect with other pathogenic bacteria, thus causing sepsis and meningitis in humans and animals^[2]. Aeromonas spp. cause acute watery diarrhea, dysentery, and chronic gastrointestinal diseases^[3], which pose threats to human health and quality of life. China continues to be one of the countries with the highest mortality rate owing to childhood diarrhea. Indeed, > 10,000 deaths from diarrheal diseases occur annually^[4].

The Aeromonas genus currently consists of > 26 known species^[5]. Owing to the limitations of the existing phenotypic identification systems and the complexity of the taxonomy of Aeromonas spp., phenotypic identification is deemed reasonably difficult to the species level, especially for Aeromonas spp. related to human diseases^[b]. Furthermore, conventional methods, such as matrixassisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), are timeconsuming, labor-intensive, and error-prone^[7]. For instance, Morinaga et al.^[8] reported that isolates originally recognized as A. hydrophila using traditional phenotypic analysis have been reidentified as A. dhakensis based on cpn60 and gyrB sequencing methods. David et al.^[9] concluded that the universal target (UT) sequence from the cpn60 gene can be used for phylogenetic and taxonomic studies of the Aeromonas genus. The type I chaperone gene, $cpn60^{[9]}$, and the single-copy protein-coding gene, $gyrB^{[10]}$, are widespread and have been applied in phylogenetic analysis and species identification of *Aeromonas*^[10].

The mechanism underlying the pathogenesis of *Aeromonas* is multifactorial^[11]. Specifically, the pathogenicity is related to virulence factors, including aerolysin (*aer*), heat-stable cytotoxin (*ast*), hemolysin (*hlyA*), lateral flagella (*laf*), polar flagellum (*fla*), elastase (*ela*), lipase (*lip*), cytotoxic enterotoxin (*act*), and cytotoxic enterotoxin (*alt*). The *Aeromonas* spp. utilize these virulence factors to enable survival within the host, thereby causing extensive cell and tissue destruction, evading the host immune response, and enhancing pathogenic resistance mechanisms, which

subsequently help the pathogen to establish an infection^[12]. Therefore, further elucidation of the correlation between *Aeromonas* pathogenicity and the associated virulence factors is warranted.

Owing to the ease-of-culture, low cost, short life cycle, and simple genetic background of *Caenorhabditis elegans*^[13], wild-type *C. elegans* has been increasingly used as a model to evaluate the virulence of bacteria with pathogenic potential, including *Aeromonas* spp.^[14]. Moreover, Wu et al.^[15] reported that the virulence manifested in the cytotoxicity assay is correlated with virulence traits demonstrated in a mouse infection model.

Antibiotics are frequently abused in industries to prevent and control *Aeromonas* infections, thus resulting in increased antimicrobial resistance^[16]. It has been reported that *Aeromonas* isolates from South Korea were 100% resistant to amoxicillin and nalidixic acid, and 98.5% of the isolates were resistant to ampicillin; all isolates showed multiple antimicrobial resistance phenotypes^[17]. Multidrugresistant (MDR) *Aeromonas* strains from food products can bypass the acidic conditions of the digestive tract of humans and animals^[18]. Hence, antimicrobial resistance of *Aeromonas* from food items should be strictly monitored to reduce the risk of food-borne *Aeromonas* infections^[19].

In the current study we analyzed the distribution, genetic diversity, antimicrobial resistance, and pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* isolated from food items to assess the risk of disease in humans and animals. Our study provided the basis for clinical treatment of diseases caused by *Aeromonas* spp.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aeromonas Isolates

All isolates were obtained from food products, including fish, shrimp, clams, pigs, chickens, cows, and sheep, collected from six supermarkets in Pudong New District, Shanghai in 2019 (Figure 1). An automatic bacteriologic analyzer (Vitek 2 Compact; BioMèrieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) was used to identify the *Aeromonas* isolates^[20], which were then cultured at 28 °C on brain heart infusion agar plates (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK).

Multi-locus Sequence Typing (MLST) and Subtyping of Aeromonas Isolates

We extracted total chromosomal DNA from the *Aeromonas* isolates using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Shanghai, China) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Concatenated *gyrB-cpn60* (S⁻ phylogenetic trees were constructed, and we identified the *Aeromonas* isolates at the species level by comparing two-gene sequences of representative species^[21]. The *Aeromonas* MLST scheme (http:// pubmlst.org/Aeromonas/), which relies on the amplification and sequencing of six housekeeping genes (*gyrB*, *groL*, *gltA*, *metG*, *ppsA*, and *recA*), was applied to identify each *Aeromonas* isolate subtype^[22]. On PCR was performed using previously described

primers and protocols and the sequences of the six

loci were compared with the loci hosted on the

Aeromonas MLST database and sequence types

(STs)^[23]. A phylogenetic tree was also constructed using the neighbor-joining method in Clustal-W with bootstrap values calculated using 1,000 replicates.

The β -hemolytic Activity and Extracellular Protease Assay

The hemolytic ability and extracellular protease activity of the *Aeromonas* isolates were determined on Luria Bertani (LB) agar plates containing 5% sheep blood (KeMaJia, Shanghai, China) and LB agar plates containing 2% (w/v) skim milk (KeMaJia), respectively. An *Aeromonas* colony was inoculated on blood and milk agar plates and incubated at

	Source	Species /	Antibiotic resistance phenoty	pe MDR	Antimicrobial resistance	Virulence genes
99, 16F-19 (ST994)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1	Series	act. fla
67 18FX80 (ST921)	fish	A veronii	C70	1	aac(6')-Ib	act fla
16F-23 (ST997)	shrimp	A. veronii	čžo	î	00010 / 10	act, fla
16F-3 (ST894)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, fla, ascV, aexT
18FX208 (ST948)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, ascV, aexT
16F-32 (ST425)	pork	A. veronii	CZO	1	tetE	act, fla, ascV, aexT
99 16F-36 (ST425)	pork	A. veronii	CZO	1	tetE	act, fla, ascV, aex l
16F-45 (ST425)	pork	A. veronii	CZO	1	tetE	act, fla, ascV, aexT
16F-44 (ST507)	mutton	A. veronii	CZO	1	tetE	ela, act, fla
16F 11 (ST001)	fich	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, ascv, aexi
16F-11 (51991)	chicken	A. veronii	670	1	tetE	act. fla. ascV. aexT
16F-25 (ST998)	fish	A. veronii	620	1		ast, lip, ela, act, alt, aerA, hlvA, f
68 16E-37 (ST961)	pork	A. veronii	CZ0	1	tetF	act, fla
97-16F-2 (ST995)	mutton	A. veronii	CZ0	1	tetE. mcr-3	lip, act, aerA, hlyA
MAS2018054 (ST1014)	spiral lion	A. veronii	CZO/CRO	2	tetE, aac(6')-Ib, mcr-3	act, fla
MAS2018049 (ST1023)	spiral lion	A. veronii	CZO/CRO/CIP/LEV/SXT	5	blaTEM, aac(6')-Ib	aexT
16F-30 (ST999)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1	tetE	act, fla, ascV, aexT
94 16F-35 (ST1000)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		fla, ascV, aexT
16F-27 (ST893)	snrimp	A. Veronii	CZO	1		lip, fla
	nsn	A. Veronii	C20	1		act fla
	chickop	A. Veronii	620	1	tetE	
18FY204 (ST910)	shrimn	A. veronii	620	1	mcr-3	na act laf
16F-13 (ST992)	chicken	A. veronii	620	0	tetF	act
16F-86 (ST914)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, fla, laf, ascV, aexT
16F-70 (ST904)	shrimp	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, fla, ascV, aexT
99 16F-8 (ST909)	clam	A. veronii	CZO	1		fla, ascV, aexT
69 16F-53 (ST900)	shrimp	A. veronii	AMC/CZO	2	tetE	act, fla, laf
16F-73 (ST907)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		act
MAS2018027 (ST621)	spiral lion	A. veronii	CZO	1		aexT
16F-43 (ST897)	beet	A. veronii	670	0	tetE	act
	chicken	A. veronii	C20	1	tetE	np, act, na
MAS2018045 (S1434)	spirar non	A. veronii	C20/SX1	2	tetE,ddL(0 J-ID, IIICI-3	act fla
MAS2018044 (STA34)	sniral lion	A. veronii	CZO/SXT	2	aac(6')-lb. mcr-3	act
16F-78 (ST908)	pork	A. veronii	620,381	1	tetF	act fla ascV aexT
16F-77 (ST1010)	chicken	A. veronii	ČŽO/SXT	2	aac(6')-Ib	fla
16F-69 (ST1008)	beef	A. veronii	CZO/SXT	2	aac(6')-Ib	act, fla
16F-82 (ST911)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, fla, laf
89 16F-85 (ST1008)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1	_	act, fla
16F-42 (ST896)	chicken	A. veronii	CZO	1	tetE	ela, act, fla, ascV, aexT
MAS2018078 (ST609)	chicken	A. veronii		1	tetE, aac(6')-lb, mcr-3	ela, act, fla
79 16F-47 (ST898)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		ela, act, fla, ascV, aex l
MAS2018046 (ST1022)	spirar non	A. veronii	CZO/CIP	2	qnrS, aac(6')-Ib	act fla
10F-40 (S11018)	nork	A. Veronii A. veronii	670	1	mcr-3	act, na act. aexT
16F-66 (ST1007)	chicken	A. veronii	CZO/CT	2	inci o	act, ascV
85 16F-49 (ST1001)	fish	A. veronii	620, 61	1	tetE	act
16F-84 (ST913)	pork	A. veronii	CZ0	1		
16F-75 (ST449)	beef	A. veronii	620	0		act, fla, aexT
16F-71 (ST905)	fish	A. veronii	CZO/CIP	ž	qnrS	fla
85 16F-15 (ST993)	pork	A. veronii	CZO/CRO/CT	3	mcr-3	ela, act, fla
99 99' 16F-57 (ST1005)	mutton	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, fla
88 16F-55 (ST901)	рогк	A. veronii	CZO CZO (SYT	1	tetL, mcr-1	act, na, ascV, aex I
16E-5 (ST800)	nork	A. veronii	670	2	lelA	act fla ascV aexT
40 16F-39 (ST895)	fish	A. veronii	C70	1	mcr-3	act, fla, laf, ascV, aexT
99 18FX114 (ST918)	fish	A. veronii	CZ0	1	tetF. aac(6')-Ib	act. fla. ascV. aexT
MAS2018050 (ST924)	spiral lion	A. veronii	čzõ	î	,	act, fla, laf, aexT
99 16F-81 (ST910)	fish	A. veronii	CZO	1		act
76 MAS2018048 (ST923)	spiral lion	A. veronii	CZO	1		act, fla
MAS2018026 (ST1021)	spiral lion	A. jandaei	AMC/CZO/CRO/CT	4		act, aexT
16F-17 (ST890)	pork	A. salmonicid	a CZO	1	tetE	lip, ela, act, alt, aerA, hlyA
99 16F-24 (ST891)	pork	A. salmonicid	a CZO	1	tetE	lip, ela, act, alt, aerA, niyA, tia
63	fich	A. sannoniciu A. dhakensis	a AMC/CZO	2		lin, ela, act. alt. aerA. hlvA. fla
99 18FX210 (51775)	shrimn	A dhakensis	AMC/C70/CT	3	aac(6')-Ib. mcr-3	lin, ela, act, alt, aerA, hlvA fla
64 16F-62 (ST1006)	fish	A dhakensis	C70/CT	2		lin alt hlvA
18FX11 (ST917)	fish	A. hydrophila	CZO/CIP/CT/SXT	4	anrS. aac(6')-lb	act lin als alt blue laf and
16F-6 (ST902)	pork	A. media	SAM/CZO	2	tetE. aac(6')-Ib	азі, пр, еіа, ан, тіўА, іаі, дSCV ela
99r 16F-12 (ST1017)	clam	A. bivalvium		ō	aac(6')-Ib	lip, ela, alt, fla
86 L16F-52 (ST1019)	fish	A. bivalvium	CZO	1		lip, ela, alt, fla
79 18FX39 (ST1012)	fish	A. caviae	ATM/CZO/CAZ/CRO	4	tetE, aac(6')-Ib	lip, fla
99 16F-41 (ST479)	pork	A. caviae	CZO	1		IIP, eld, alt, fla, lat
99 16F-51 (ST1003)	ciam	A. caviae	CZO	1	tetE	IIP, eld, alt, Tla
99 18FX32 (S1920)	IISN fich	A. Caviae	ATM/CZU/CAZ/CRO	4	aac(6')-lb aac(6')-lb	IIP, CIA, Idi
99 18FX33 (CT020)	fich	A. Laviae	ATM/CZO/CAZ/CRO	4	00010 / 10	IIP, Cld, Idl
201/05 (01520)			,, czoj chzj chu	4		np, cia, lla
0.03						

Figure 1. Phylogeny of *Aeromonas* spp. The concatenated sequences of six housekeeping genes were used to analyze the phylogeny of *Aeromonas* spp. The information of source, species, virulence genes, and antibiotic resistance are shown. MDR, multidrug-resistance.

28 °C^[20]. The presence of transparent zones surrounding the *Aeromonas* colonies were considered positive reactions for both tests.

C. elegans LT Assay

Aeromonas isolates were selected for the C. elegans LT assay^[15]. A single colony of Aeromonas was incubated in 45 mL of LB broth at 28 °C for 24 h. The Aeromonas suspension was adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 3.0, which was prepared for the toxicity assay. Nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates with Escherichia coli strain OP50 were used to culture C. elegans. The synchronized adult L₄ worms were washed in M_a buffer (http://www.wormbook.org/). After centrifugation, the worms were re-suspended in 5 µL of S-medium (http://www.wormbook.org/). Approximately 40-50 worms were added to a 48well plate with 5 µL of fluorodeoxyuridine to prevent reproduction. Finally, 190 µL of the LB broth with Aeromonas isolates was added to each lawn to a final volume of 200 µL. The plate was incubated at 20 °C and the survival rate of worms was observed after 1, 2, and 3 days.

Cytotoxicity Assay

The mouse C_2C_{12} fibroblast cell line obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas VA, USA) was used for cytotoxicity assays^[20]. The cells were cultured in complete medium with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator for 24 h. The cells were then thrice-washed with DMEM and reacted with Aeromonas spp. for 3 h^[15]. The Aeromonas cultures were incubated with cells at a 100 multiplicity of infection (MOI). The CytoTox 96° Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used to measure cell death following treatment with a cytotoxic drug or compound^[19]. The CytoTox 96[®] Assay kit was used to determine the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from the cell after interacting with Aeromonas isolates at 37 °C for 3 h. A group treated with 10× lysis solution (Promega) was used as the positive control, and an untreated group with DMEM (Gibco) was the negative control^[15]. Cytotoxicity was expressed as the released LDH level induced by Aeromonas isolates compared with that induced by 10× lysis solution (defined as 100% cytotoxicity).

Detection of Virulence-Associated Genes

Virulence genes (aerA, hlyA, act, ast, alt, ascV,

aexT, lip, ela, fla, and laf) were screened as potential toxicity factors of Aeromonas^[24]. The PCR system (30 μ L) contained 15 μ L of Taq PCR MasterMix (Takara Bio, Inc., Kyoto, Japan), 1 μ L of primer (10 μ mol/L), 11.5 μ L of ddH₂O, and 1.5 μ L of DNA template. The cycling conditions were as follows: pre-denaturation at 96 °C for 5 min; 34 cycles of denaturation at 96 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55–60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min and the final extension was at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products were analyzed using sequencing.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for Aeromonas spp. was performed following the 2010 Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guideline M45-A2^[6]. The minimal inhibition concentrations (MICs) of 10 antibiotics [amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC), ampicillin/sulbactam (SAM), cefazolin (CZO), ceftazidime (CAZ), ceftriaxone (CRO), aztreonam ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LEV), (ATM), oxazin/sulfamethoxine oxazole (SXT), and colistin (CT)] were measured. The quality control strains for all antimicrobial susceptibility tests were Escherichia coli ATCC 25922^[25].

Evaluation of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

We detected 19 resistance genes (*tetA*, *tetB*, *tetE*; *blaTEM*, *blaSHV*, *blaCTX*; *armA*, *aphAI-IAB*, *aac*(6')-*lb*, *aac*(3')-*lla*; *sul1*, *sul2*; *mcr-1*, *mcr-2*, *mcr-3*, *mcr-4*; *qnrA*, *qnrB*, and *qnrS*) in the *Aeromonas* isolates. The primer sequences of the antibiotic resistance genes are shown in Table 1. The PCRpositive products were confirmed using sequencing.

Statistics

Data analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 23.0 for Windows; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). The 95% *CIs* of categorical variables for the distribution of virulence genes and the results of the *C. elegans* LT assay were calculated using Fisher's exact tests. The cytotoxicity test data were analyzed using a nonparametric test to reveal the toxicity differences among different *Aeromonas* isolates.

RESULTS

MLST of Aeromonas Isolates

The 79 isolates of *Aeromonas* were categorized into 73 STs, 65 of which were novel (ST889-ST961

and ST991-ST1023), revealing a high degree of genetic diversity among the *Aeromonas* strains (Figure 1). There was no dominant ST observed in any of the *Aeromonas* isolates.

Diversity and Distribution of Aeromonas

We identified all the *Aeromonas* isolates based on *gyrB-cpn60* sequencing, which were distributed as follows: A. veronii (78.5%), A. caviae (7.6%), A. dhakensis (3.8%), A. salmonicida (3.8%), A. bivalvium (2.5%), A. hydrophila (1.3%), A. jandaei (1.3%), and A. media (1.3%), Figure 2. In addition, the Aeromonas spp. were isolated from a variety of food products, of which the four most frequent foodstuffs were fish, pork, chicken, and spiral shell (Figure 1).

Table 1. Primer sec	juences used to am	plify antimicrobial	resistance genes
---------------------	--------------------	---------------------	------------------

Targeted genes	Primers	Sequences (5′→3′)	Product size (bp)	
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase				
blaTEM	blaTEM-F blaTEM-R	ATAAAATTCTTGAAGACGAAA GACAGTTACCAATGCTTAATC	1,080	
blaSHV	blaSHV-F blaSHV-R	TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG	795	
blaCTX-M	blaCTX-M-F blaCTX-M-R	CGCTTTGCGATGTGCAG ACCGCGATATCGTTGGT	550	
Tetracycline resistance				
tetA	tetA-F tetA-R	GTAATTCTGAGCACTGTCGC CTGCCTGGACAACATTGCTT	1,000	
tetB	tetB-F tetB-R	CTCAGTATTCCAAGCCTTTG CTAAGCACTTGTCTCCTGTT	400	
tetE	tetE-F tetE-R	GTGATGATGGCACTGGTCAT CTCTGCTGTACATCGCTCTT	1,100	
Plasmids mediate quinolone resistance				
qnrA	qnrA-F qnrA-R	AGAGGATTTCTCACGCCAGG TGCCAGGCACAGATCTTGAC	580	
qnrB	qnrB-F qnrB-R	GATCGTGAAAGCCAGAAAGG ACGATGCCTGGTAGTTGTCC	496	
qnrS	qnrS-F qnrS-R	GCAAGTTCATTGAACAGGGT TCTAAACCGTCGAGTTCGGCG	428	
Aminoglycoside resistance				
armA	armA-F armA-R	AGGTTGTTTCCATTTCTGAG TCTCTTCCATTCCCTTCTCC	591	
aphAI-IAB	aphAI-IAB-F aphAI-IAB-R	AAACGTCTTGCTCGA GGC CAAACCGTTATTCATTCGTGA	500	
aac(3)-Ila	aac(3)-IIa-F aac(3)-IIa-R	ATGGGCATC ATTCGCACA TCTCGGCTTGAACGAATTGT	749	
aac(6')-Ib	aac(6')-Ib-F aac(6')-Ib-R	TTGCGATGCTCTATGAGTGGCTA CTCGAATGCCTGGCGTGTTT	482	
Mobile colistin resistance				
mcr-1	mcr-1-F mcr-2-R	CGGTCAGTCCGTTTGTTC CTTGGTCGGTCTGTAGGG	309	
mcr-2	mcr-2-F mcr-2-R	TGTTGCTTGTGCCGATTGGA CAGCAACCAACAATACCATCT	567	
mcr-3	mcr-3-F mcr-3-R	AGTTTGGTTTCGCCATTTCATTAC ATATCACTGCGTGGACAGTCAGG	1,084	
mcr-4	mcr-4-F mcr-4-R	TTACAGCCAGAATCATTATCA ATTGGGATAGTCGCCTTTTT	488	
Sulfonamide resistance				
sul1	sul1-F sul1-R	CGGCGTGGGCTACCTGAACG GCCGATCGCGTGAAGTTCCG	433	
sul2	sul2-F sul2-R	GCGCTCAAGGCAGATGGCATT GCGTTTGATACCGGCACCCGT	293	



Figure 2. Subtyping of *Aeromonas* isolates. A neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using the concatenated sequences of *gyrB* and *cpn60* to reveal the relationships between 79 *Aeromonas* isolates from food products.

The β -hemolytic and Exoprotease Assays

From the 79 *Aeromonas* isolates, 36 (45.6%) exhibited β -hemolytic activity and 64 (81%) exhibited proteolytic activity (Table 2). *A. caviae* lacked hemolytic activity; the positive rate of proteolytic activity was 16.7%. *A. dhakensis* (66.7% and 100%, respectively) and *A. hydrophila* (100% and 100%, respectively) had more hemolytic and exoprotease activities than *A. veronii* (50.0% and 85.5%, respectively), *A. caviae* (0 and 16.7%, respectively) and *A. bivalvium* (0 and 100%, respectively). Moreover, the positive rate of hemolytic and proteolytic activities in *A. salmonicida* strains were 33.3% and 66.7%, respectively. *A. jandaei* showed no signs of β -hemolysis.

C. elegans LT and Cytotoxicity Tests

The pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* isolates was assessed using the *C. elegans* LT test, and the results are shown in Figure 3. The 1, 2, and 3-day survival rates of *C. elegans* fed with *A. dhakensis, A. hydrophila, A. veronii, A. caviae,* and *A. bivalvium* were as follows: 26.1%, 23.2%, and 17.4%; 26.7%, 11.1%, and 11.1%; 41.7%, 33.8%, and 29.6%; 43.8%, 35.8%, and 31.0%; and 47.7%, 20.9%, and 15.1%, respectively. Thus, *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila*

were more pathogenic than other *Aeromonas* spp. Overall, the *C. elegans* survival rates when cultured with *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila* were significantly lower than *A. veronii*, *A. caviae*, and *A. bivalvium* (P < 0.05).

The pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* isolates was assessed using cytotoxicity tests. Compared with LDH release of the 10× lysis solution, the volume of LDH release induced by *A. dhakensis, A. hydrophila, A. veronii, A. caviae,* and *A. bivalvium* were 75.9%, 74.5%, 46.4%, 11.9%, and 5.2%, respectively. Our results indicated that *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila* were more virulent than *A. veronii, A. caviae,* and *A. bivalvium*, which was in agreement with the results of the *C. elegans* LT assay. The LDH release level varied between *Aeromonas* spp. (*P* < 0.05).

Distribution of Virulence Genes

The distribution of the 11 virulence genes in the *Aeromonas* strains is summarized in detail (Table 3). In particular, *act* and *fla* were the two most frequent virulence genes among all the isolates, accounting for 73.4% and 69.6%, respectively. The *ast* and *aerA* genes were detected in < 10% of all isolates. The detection rates of *lip*, *ela*, *act*, *alt*, *aerA*, and *hlyA* were significantly different among the eight species of *Aeromonas* [P < 0.05 (Fisher's exact test)]. Apart

Table 2. Prevalence of hemolytic and proteolytic activities in Aeromonas isolates

Assay	A. veronii, n (%)	A. caviae, n (%)	A. dhakensis, n (%)	A. hydrophila, n (%)	A. salmonicida, n (%)	A. bivalvium, n (%)	A. jandaei, n (%)	A. media, n (%)
Hemolysis	31 (50.0)	0	2 (66.7)	1 (100)	1 (33.3)	0	0	1 (100)
Proteolysis	53 (85.5)	1 (16.7)	3 (100)	1 (100)	2 (66.7)	2 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)
Total	62	6	3	1	3	2	1	1



Figure 3. *C. elegans* liquid toxicity assay. Three-day survival of *C. elegans* co-cultivated with the following five *Aeromonas* species: *A. dhakensis*; *A. hydrophila*; *A. veronii*; *A. caviae*; and *A. bivalvium* in the liquid-toxic assay. ***P < 0.05, as compared with *A. dhakensis*.

from the three genes (*ast, aexT,* and *ascV*), all other virulence genes were detected in *A. dhakensis*. Only *act, fla, laf, aexT,* and *ascV* were more prevalent in *A. veronii* than other *Aeromonas* spp.

In addition to three genes (*fla*, *ascV*, and *aexT*), the prevalence of other genes differed significantly among the five *Aeromonas* spp. [*P* < 0.05 (Fisher's exact test); Table 4]. Only *hlyA* was more frequent in *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila* than *A. veronii*, *A. caviae*, and *A. bivalvium*. The genes, *act* and *aerA*, were abundant in *A. dhakensis* and *A.veronii*, but neither was detected in the other three *Aeromonas* spp. The gene, *alt*, was most commonly identified in *A. dhakensis*, *A. hydrophila*, and *A. bivalvium*. Moreover, the genes, *lip* and *ela*, were less prevalent in *A.veronii* than *A.dhakensis*, *A. hydrophila*, *A. caviae*, and *A. bivalvium*.

Antimicrobial Resistance

Resistance to CZO was demonstrated in 74 (93.7%) Aeromonas isolates. The antibiotic resistance rates of A. veronii to AMC, CRO, CIP, LEV, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and CT were 1.6%, 3.2%, 4.8%, 1.6%, 9.7%, and 1.6%, respectively. A. veronii was susceptible to SAM, CAZ, and ATM. A. dhakensis showed 100% resistance to CT and CZO. and 33.3% to AMC and CRO. A. caviae was shown to be 100% resistant to CZO and 66.7% against CAZ, CRO, and ATM. In addition to CZO, A. bivalvium was susceptible to other antibiotics. The antimicrobial resistance rates of Aeromonas isolates against 10 common antibiotics are presented in (Table 5).

In this study, 9 (11.4%) *Aeromonas* isolates were multidrug-resistant, and the high MDR rates of *A. dhakensis*, *A. hydrophila*, *A. veronii*, and *A. caviae* were 33.3%, 100%, 3.2%, and 66.7%, respectively,

849

which is an enormous challenge for treatment options.

Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

The tetracycline resistance gene, tetE, was found in A. veronii (35.5%), A. caviae (33.3%), A. salmonicida (66.7%), and A. media (100%). The aminoglycoside resistance gene, aac(6')-lb, was detected in A. veronii (16.1%), A. caviae (50.0%), A. bivalvium (50.0%), A. dhakensis (33.3%), A. media (100%), and A. hydrophila (100%). Moreover, the CT resistance gene, mcr-3, accounted for 16.1% of A. veronii and 33.3% of A. dhakensis. The PMQR gene, gnrS, was present in 3.2% of A. veronii and 100% of A. hydrophila. In addition, blaTEM (1.6%), tetA (1.6%), and mcr-1(1.6%) were present in A. veronii. No drug resistance gene was observed in A. jandaei. The following genes were not detected in any Aeromonas isolates: the ESBL genes, blaSHV and *blaCTX-M*; the tetracycline resistance gene, *tetB*; the PMQR genes, qnrA and qnrB; the aminoglycoside resistance genes, aphAI-IAB, aac (3')-IIa, and armA; the CT resistance genes, mcr-2 and mcr-4; and the sulphonamide resistance genes, sul1 and sul2 (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

Common clinical manifestations of *Aeromonas* infections include gastrointestinal infections, diarrhea, bacteremia, and localized soft tissue infections^[11]. The clinical *Aeromonas* isolates (96.5%) that have been identified to date belong to one of the following species: *A. caviae* (29.9%); *A. dhakensis* (26.3%); *A. veronii* (24.8%); and *A. hydrophila* (15.5%)^[26]. Escarpulli et al.^[27] detected 82 species of

Table 3. Distribution of putative virulence factors in all Aeromonas isolates

loolata	ast, n (%)	lip, n (%)	ela, n (%)	act, n (%)	alt, n (%)	aerA, n (%)	hlyA, n (%)	fla, n (%)	laf, n (%)	ascV, n (%)	aexT, n (%)
isolate	<i>n</i> = 2	<i>n</i> = 18	<i>n</i> = 21	n = 58	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 6	<i>n</i> = 8	n = 55	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 24	<i>n</i> = 29
A. veronii	1 (1.6)	4 (6.5)	8 (13)	53 (85.5)	1 (1.6)	2 (3.2)	2 (3.2)	45 (72.6)	7 (11.3)	22 (35.5)	26 (41.9)
A. caviae	0	6 (100)	5 (83.3)	0	2 (33.3)	0	0	4 (66.7)	3 (50)	0	1 (16.7)
A. dhakensis	0	3 (100)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	3 (100)	2 (66.7)	3 (100)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	0	0
A. hydrophila	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	0	0	0	1 (100)	0	0	1 (100)	0
A. salmonicida	0	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	0	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
A. bivalvium	0	2 (100)	2 (100)	0	2 (100)	0	0	2 (100)	0	0	0
A. jandaei	0	0	0	1 (100)	1 (100)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100)
A. media	0	0	1 (100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P value	0.105	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.003	< 0.001	0.310	0.215	0.155	0.486

Aeromonas in 250 frozen edible fish in Mexico City, Mexico and emphasized the risks associated with the consumption of the Aeromonas isolated from frozen edible fish. In our study, 29 (36.7%) strains of Aeromonas were isolated from fish samples. Consumption of food infected with Aeromonas poses a potential threat to human health. Accordingly, we analyzed *Aeromonas* isolates obtained from food samples from Shanghai Agricultural Market and evaluated the species distribution, antibiotic resistance, and pathogenicity. Among the 73 STs identified from the 79

Table 4. Distribution of virulence factors among isolates of A. dhakensis, A. hydrophila, A. veronii A. caviae,and A. bivalvium

C	A. dhakensis, n (%)	A. hydrophila, n (%)	A. veronii, n (%)	A. caviae, n (%)	A. bivalvium, n (%)	Durahua
Gene	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 1	<i>n</i> = 62	<i>n</i> = 6	<i>n</i> = 2	P value
ast	0	1 (100)	1 (1.6)	0	0	0.047
lip	3 (100)	1 (100)	4 (6.5)	6 (100)	2 (100)	< 0.001
ela	2 (66.7)	1 (100)	8 (13)	5 (83.3)	2 (100)	< 0.001
act	2 (66.7)	0	53 (85.5)	0	0	< 0.001
alt	3 (100)	1 (100)	1 (1.6)	2 (33.3)	2 (100)	< 0.001
aerA	2 (66.7)	0	2 (3.2)	0	0	0.027
hlyA	3 (100)	1 (100)	2 (3.2)	0	0	< 0.001
fla	2 (66.7)	0	45 (72.6)	4 (66.7)	2 (100)	0.603
laf	1 (33.3)	1 (100)	7 (11.3)	3 (50)	0	0.020
ascV	0	1 (100)	22 (35.5)	0	0	0.121
aexT	0	0	26 (41.9)	1 (16.7)	0	0.360

Table J. I revalence of resistance to unrerent antibiotics

	Resistance isolates, n (%)								
Drug	A. veronii	A. caviae	A. dhakensis	A. hydrophila	A. salmonicida	A. bivalvium	A. jandaei	A. media	
	<i>n</i> = 62	<i>n</i> = 6	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 1	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 2	<i>n</i> = 1	<i>n</i> = 1	
Penicillins									
Ampicillin/sulbactam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100)	
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	1 (1.6)	0	1 (33.3)	0	1 (33.3)	0	1 (100)	0	
Cephems									
Cefazolin	58 (93.5)	6 (100)	3 (100)	1 (100)	3 (100)	1 (50)	1 (100)	1 (100)	
Ceftazidime	0	4 (66.7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ceftriaxone	2 (3.2)	4 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	0	0	0	1 (100)	0	
Monobactams									
Aztreonam	0	4 (66.7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Quinolones									
Ciprofloxacin	3 (4.8)	0	0	1 (100)	0	0	0	0	
Levofloxacin	1 (1.6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Folate pathway inhibitors									
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	6 (9.7)	0	0	1 (100)	0	0	0	0	
Polymyxins									
Colistin	1 (1.6)	0	3 (100)	1 (100)	0	0	1 (100)	0	

Aeromonas isolates, 65 were novel, which indicated that the isolates obtained from food products had high genetic diversity. Lau et al.^[28] also found 36 STs in 47 isolates in Malaysia, 34 of which were novel STs, which suggested that the isolates obtained from food products often had high genetic diversity. The phylogenetic relationship of 79 Aeromonas isolates was evaluated using the housekeeping gene, gyrBcpn60^[28]. A. veronii was the most dominant Aeromonas species, comprising 78.5% of all isolates, and was isolated from meat (43.5%) and fish (33.9%). A. caviae (7.6%) was the second most prevalent Aeromonas species and was distributed in various foods, such as fish and calms. Elala et al.^[29] isolated A. caviae from chickens, suggesting that this species could survive in both aquatic and terrestrial environments. The third most abundant species was A. dhakensis, which has been reported^[5] to have a higher virulence potential and is a notable human pathogen. In our study, A. dhakensis was isolated from fish and shrimp samples at a 2:1 ratio. Similarly, Wu et al.^[15] reported bacteria isolated from fish and clinical isolates in Tainan to be Aeromonas spp.; the most prevalent species were A. caviae, A. dhakensis, and A. veronii.

In this study, we analyzed the virulence of Aeromonas isolates using β-hemolysis and proteolytic, C. elegans LT, and cytotoxicity assays. The positivity rates of A. dhakensis and A. hydrophila based on the β -hemolysis and proteolytic assays were higher than A. veronii, A. caviae, and A. bivalvium, which was confirmed by the survival rate of C. elegans fed with Aeromonas isolates, as well as the LDH release from mouse fibroblasts C_2C_{12} infected with Aeromonas spp. Wu et al.^[15] reported that the pathogenicity of A. veronii and A. caviae were less virulent than A. dhakensis and A. hydrophila, which was consistent with our findings. The virulence of A. dhakensis against C. elegans was shown to be more evident than that of A. *hydrophila*^[20], in contrast to the pathogenicity of *A*. dhakensis, which differed little from A. hydrophila in our investigation.

Cody et al.^[30] reported that the virulence phenotype of *Aeromonas* was the result of a combined effect of its virulence factors. We detected several virulence genes from the *Aeromonas* spp. In all *Aeromonas* isolates, *act* was the most prevalent virulence gene, followed by *fla*, *aexT*, and *ascV*. The cytolytic enterotoxin gene, *act*, was the most frequently detected among all isolates, and the result corresponded with the data of *act* (63%) and *alt* (57%), which were higher than *ast* (6%) in Aeromonas from water and fish sourced in India^[31]. Furthermore, act was mainly distributed in A. veronii (85.5%), and fla was observed in most Aeromonas spp. except A. jandaei, A. hydrophila, and A. media. The aexT gene was present in all A. jandaei, 41.9% of A. veronii, 33.3% of A. salmonicida, and 16.7% of A. *caviae*. Another study^[15] suggested that compared to other Aeromonas pathogens, the lack of aerA or hlyA may be associated with low virulence of A. caviae and A. bivalvium. These results represented the distribution of virulence genes in food products and indicated the potential pathogenicity of Aeromonas isolates, which could help enhance food quality and hygiene in this region, and aid in preventing and controlling the outbreak of Aeromonas-related diseases.

The results of this study indicated that Aeromonas isolates displayed 93.7% resistance to the first-generation cephalosporin (CZO) and < 11% resistance to third-generation cephalosporins (10.1% for CRO and 5% for CAZ). Several studies^[32,33] reported that the majority of isolates had 100% susceptibility to third-generation cephalosporins (CAZ and cefotaxime), while there was a different degree of resistance to first-generation cephalosporins, ranging from 79.3% to 91.8%. Generally, our findings were in agreement with other studies^[32,33]. In addition, A. bivalvium had resistance to CZO (50%), and this finding corroborated the finding that all isolates from clinical and environmental samples were 80% resistant to CZO^[33], which indicates that resistance to firstgeneration cephalosporins could be prevalent^[34]. We found that isolates of A. dhakensis exhibited resistance to CZO (100%), CT (100%), CRO (33.3%), and AMC (33.3%), confirming multiple drug resistance of this species, which is in agreement with previous findings^[6]. This study demonstrated that A. veronii had various types of drug resistance, with 100% susceptibility to SAM, CAZ, and ATM. Absolute (100%) sensitivity to CIP was reported in a previous study in which several A. veronii strains were isolated from clinical patients^[33]; however, this differed from the CIP resistance rate of 5% determined in the current study. Moreover, we found that the resistance rate of Aeromonas spp. to CT was 7.6%, which was approximately the same as that of Aeromonas spp. isolated from Australian fish. CT is the last line of antibiotic treatment used for clinically severe infections caused by multidrugresistant gram-negative bacteria^[33]. In the present study, nine strains of Aeromonas showed a multidrug resistance rate of 11.4%, which was lower than that reported in a previous study^[6].

Consistent with the findings of a study conducted by Dahanayake et al.^[35], *tetE* was detected in 27 strains (34.2%) and *tetA* was detected in 1 strain (1.3%); However, *tetB* was not detected in the present study. Moreover, the *tetE* detection rates varied among *A. veronii*, *A. caviae*, *A. media*, and *A. salmonicida*, ranging from 33.3% to 100%. Recently, aminoglycosides have been extensively used for treating severe infections caused by *Aeromonas*, and Dahanayake et al.^[35] reported the presence of *aac(6')-lb* in all *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from cockles in Korea. In the present study, 21.5% of the isolates of *Aeromonas* spp. harbored *aac(6')-lb*, except for *A. salmonicida* and *A. jandaei*.

Our study indicated that approximately 13.9% of Aeromonas spp. harbored mcr-3 (16.1% of A. veronii and 33.3% of A. dhakensis). These data support the discovery of mcr-3 in A. jandaei isolated from fish sold in Beijing, China^[36]. Studies have reported the presence of qnrS not only in Enterobacteriaceae, but also in Aeromonas, suggesting that this gene is distributed across different bacterial species^[37]. We found that Aeromonas strains collected from food products had a resistance rate of 8.9% to SXT, and the same strains exhibited a detection rate of 3.8% for gnrS. This gene was detected in 3.2% and 100% of A. veronii and A. hydrophila isolates, respectively. Previous studies have reported different rates of detection of *qnrS* in Lake Lugano (Switzerland)^[37] and China^[6]. Moreover, the rate of detection of bla_{TEM} in A. veronii was 1.6%, confirming the presence of bla_{TEM} in A. veronii isolated from German water sources^[38]. Nonetheless, these findings should be further assessed in future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Aeromonas was an important zoonotic pathogen that is mainly distributed in fish and other aquatic products. Studies have demonstrated that these isolates had a high degree of genetic diversity; the 79 isolates in the current study were shown to produce 73 independent STs. The isolates were divided into eight species by gyrB-cpn60 sequencing. The distribution, taxonomy, drug resistance, and pathogenicity varied among different Aeromonas spp. The percentage of Aeromonas isolates shown to be MDR was 11.4%. Food-derived Aeromonas isolates (93.7%) were resistant to CZO. Aeromonas carried some virulence and antibiotic resistance genes, which posed a threat and challenge to food safety and clinical treatment monitoring. A few isolates, such as *A. dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila*, had high pathogenicity and could cause extensive infections and posed risks to human and animal health.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Received: November 23, 2021; Accepted: April 22, 2022

REFERENCES

- Stratev D, Odeyemi OA. Antimicrobial resistance of Aeromonas hydrophila isolated from different food sources: A mini-review. J Infect Public Health, 2016; 9, 535–44.
- Li ZQ, Wang YQ, Li XY, et al. The characteristics of antibiotic resistance and phenotypes in 29 outer-membrane protein mutant strains in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Environ Microbiol, 2019; 21, 4614–28.
- Janda JM, Abbott SL. The genus Aeromonas: Taxonomy, pathogenicity, and infection. Clin Microbiol Rev, 2010; 23, 35–73.
- Hao RZ, Li P, Wang Y, et al. Diversity of pathogens responsible for acute diarrheal disease in China. Clin Infect Dis, 2013; 57, 1788–90.
- Chen PL, Wu CJ, Chen CS, et al. A comparative study of clinical Aeromonas dhakensis and Aeromonas hydrophila isolates in southern Taiwan: A. dhakensis is more predominant and virulent. Clin Microbiol Infect, 2014; 20, 0428–34.
- Meng S, Du XL, Wang YL, et al. Comparative study of the genetic diversity, antimicrobial resistance, and pathogenicity of *Aeromonas* isolates from clinical patients and healthy individuals. Biomed Environ Sci, 2021; 34, 454–64.
- Subbaram K, Gatasheh MK, Al Azzam KM, et al. Molecular identification of diarrheal *Aeromonas* using immuno magnetic polymerase chain reaction (IM-PCR) technique: a comparative study with conventional culture method. Afr Health Sci, 2019; 19, 2036–42.
- Morinaga Y, Yanagihara K, Eugenin FLL, et al. Identification error of *Aeromonas aquariorum*: a causative agent of septicemia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2013; 76, 106–9.
- Miñana-Galbis D, Urbizu-Serrano A, Farfán M, et al. Phylogenetic analysis and identification of *Aeromonas* species based on sequencing of the *cpn60* universal target. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 2009; 59, 1976–83.
- Yano Y, Hamano K, Tsutsui I, et al. Occurrence, molecular characterization, and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Aeromonas* spp. in marine species of shrimps cultured at inland low salinity ponds. Food Microbiol, 2015; 47, 21–7.
- Senderovich Y, Ken-Dror S, Vainblat I, et al. A molecular study on the prevalence and virulence potential of *Aeromonas* spp. recovered from patients suffering from diarrhea in Israel. PLoS One, 2012; 7, e30070.
- Batra P, Mathur P, Misra MC. Aeromonas spp. : An emerging nosocomial pathogen. J Lab Physicians, 2016; 8, 1–4.
- Tan MW, Rahme LG, Sternberg JA, et al. *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa killing of *Caenorhabditis elegans* used to identify *P.* aeruginosa virulence factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1999; 96, 2408–13.

- Gravato-Nobre MJ, Hodgkin J. Caenorhabditis elegans as a model for innate immunity to pathogens. Cell Microbiol, 2005; 7, 741–51.
- Wu CJ, Ko WC, Lee NY, et al. Aeromonas isolates from fish and patients in Tainan City, Taiwan: Genotypic and phenotypic characteristics. Appl Environ Microbiol, 2019; 85, e01360–19.
- Wang XM, Wang Y, Zhou Y, et al. Emergence of a novel mobile colistin resistance gene, mcr-8, in NDM-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Emerg Microbes Infect, 2018; 7, 122.
- 17. Hossain S, De Silva BCJ, Wimalasena SHMP, et al. Distribution of antimicrobial resistance genes and Class 1 integron gene cassette arrays in motile *Aeromonas* spp. Isolated from Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Microb Drug Resist, 2018; 24, 1217–25.
- Figueira V, Vaz-Moreira I, Silva M, et al. Diversity and antibiotic resistance of *Aeromonas* spp. in drinking and waste water treatment plants. Water Res, 2011; 45, 5599–611.
- Zhou YY, Yu L, Nan Z, et al. Taxonomy, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance of *Aeromonas* isolated from extraintestinal and intestinal infections. BMC Infect Dis, 2019; 19, 158.
- Chen PL, Wu CJ, Tsai PJ, et al. Virulence diversity among bacteremic *Aeromonas* isolates: *ex vivo*, animal, and clinical evidences. PLoS One, 2014; 9, e111213.
- Hossain S, Wickramanayake MVKS, Dahanayake PS, et al. Species identification, virulence markers and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Aeromonas* sp. isolated from marketed hard-shelled mussel (*Mytilus coruscus*) in Korea. Lett Appl Microbiol, 2020; 70, 221–9.
- Meng S, Wang YL, Liu CG, et al. Genetic diversity, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence genes of *Aeromonas* isolates from clinical patients, tap water systems, and food. Biomed Environ Sci, 2020; 33, 385–95.
- Martinez-Murcia AJ, Monera A, Saavedra MJ, et al. Multilocus phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Aeromonas*. Syst Appl Microbiol, 2011; 34, 189–99.
- Hossain S, De Silva BCJ, Dahanayake PS, et al. Phylogenetic characteristics, virulence properties and antibiogram profile of motile *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from ornamental guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). Arch Microbiol, 2020; 202, 501–9.
- 25. Pu W, Guo G, Yang N, et al. Three species of Aeromonas (A. dhakensis, A. hydrophila and A. jandaei) isolated from freshwater crocodiles (Crocodylus siamensis) with pneumonia and septicemia. Lett Appl Microbiol, 2019; 68, 212–8.
- 26. Fernández-Bravo A, Figueras MJ. An update on the genus Aeromonas: Taxonomy, epidemiology, and pathogenicity.

Microorganisms, 2020; 8, 129.

- 27. Castro-Escarpulli G, Figueras MJ, Aguilera-Arreola G, et al. Characterisation of *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from frozen fish intended for human consumption in Mexico. Int J Food Microbiol, 2003; 84, 41–9.
- Lau TTV, Tan JAMA, Puthucheary SD, et al. Genetic relatedness and novel sequence types of clinical *Aeromonas dhakensis* from Malaysia. Braz J Microbiol, 2020; 51, 909–18.
- 29. Abu-Elala N, Abdelsalam M, Marouf S, et al. Comparative analysis of virulence genes, antibiotic resistance and *gyrB*-based phylogeny of motile *Aeromonas* species isolates from Nile tilapia and domestic fowl. Lett Appl Microbiol, 2015; 61, 429–36.
- Rasmussen-Ivey CR, Figueras MJ, McGarey D, et al. Virulence factors of *Aeromonas hydrophila*: In the wake of reclassification. Front Microbiol, 2016; 7, 1337.
- Rather MA, Willayat MM, Wani SA, et al. Enterotoxin gene profile and molecular epidemiology of *Aeromonas* species from fish and diverse water sources. J Appl Microbiol, 2019; 127, 921–31.
- Lamy B, Kodjo A, Laurent F. Prospective nationwide study of Aeromonas infections in France. J Clin Microbiol, 2009; 47, 1234–7.
- Aravena-Román M, Inglis TJJ, Henderson B, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Aeromonas* strains isolated from clinical and environmental sources to 26 antimicrobial agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2012; 56, 1110–2.
- 34. Ramadan H, Ibrahim N, Samir M, et al. Aeromonas hydrophila from marketed mullet (Mugil cephalus) in Egypt: PCR characterization of β-lactam resistance and virulence genes. J Appl Microbiol, 2018; 124, 1629–37.
- 35. Dahanayake PS, Hossain S, Wickramanayake MVKS, et al. Prevalence of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in Aeromonas species isolated from marketed cockles (*Tegillarca* granosa) in Korea. Lett Appl Microbiol, 2020; 71, 94–101.
- 36. Liu DJ, Song HW, Ke YB, et al. Co-existence of two novel phosphoethanolamine transferase gene variants in *Aeromonas jandaei* from retail fish. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 2020; 55, 105856.
- Picão RC, Poirel L, Demarta A, et al. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in *Aeromonas allosaccharophila* recovered from a Swiss lake. J Antimicrob Chemother, 2008; 62, 948–50.
- Piotrowska M, Popowska M. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes among *Aeromonas* species in aquatic environments. Ann Microbiol, 2014; 64, 921–34.